

February 27, 2021 as of March 13

**The Symposium on Guardianship Abuse
an opportunity for organizers, presenters, and the audience to join forces
to expose the underlying cause:
judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power[†]**

Dear Symposium organizer Dr. Sam Sugar, presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you, Dr. Sugar [ssugarmd@msn.com], for sharing with me your call for a presentation at your Guardianship Abuse Symposium on April 11-12 via Zoom.

I filled out the form and submitted it. To ensure that you receive its salient points, I have reproduced them below together with my call for joining forces for our mutual benefit.

A. Title of presentation

A most opportune time for exposing guardianship abuse:
when President Biden is about to
name his bipartisan commission for the reform of the system of justice, and
the confirmation of Judge Merrick Garland, his attorney general designate,
will ensure that Judge Garland will protect himself and
his fellow federal and state judges,
thus preserving the system of connivance between
judges and the politicians who put them on the bench and
hold them there unaccountable and able to abuse their power risklessly.
Thinking strategically
to cause the media and academia to investigate Judge Garland and hold
unprecedented citizens hearings,
where victims of judges' abuse will testify nationally, bringing to bear the only entity
capable of reforming the system and guardianship abuse:
an informed and outraged *We the People*

B. Description of my presentation for promotional purposes

1. Causing the media and academia to investigate attorney general Judge Merrick Garland and hold **citizens hearings** where victims of judges' abuse will testify nationally, bringing to bear the only entity capable of reforming guardianship abuse my: an informed and outraged *We the People*.
2. The presentation is based on my three-volume study^{* † ‡} of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* † ‡}

C. Three objectives of the presentation

3. The audience and the rest of the public, even if not affected by guardianship abuse, will be informed about, and outraged at, how they are abused by judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power for their gain and convenience

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1257
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

4. The media will realize that they can be instrumental in bringing down, not just a president and all his White House aides, as they did through their investigation of the Watergate scandal, but rather a whole branch of government and thereby become this generation's equivalent of Washington Post publisher Katharine Graham, editor Benjamin Bradlee, and reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, of Watergate fame; win a Pulitzer Prize; write a best-seller; be portrayed in a blockbuster movie; and be studied for decades at journalism, government, and law schools. Moreover, "Scandal sells". See http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
5. Lawyers and law students will realize that it will suffice for judges and their judiciaries to give "the appearance of impropriety" for having turned abuse into their institutionalized modus operandi, for the gates to open to a flood of motions for vacating decisions and orders and remanding for new trials; recusing judges or having them disqualified; representing millions of people abused by judges in their collective demand for compensation; advising witnesses at unprecedented citizens hearings held by the media and academia; etc., thus developing a new niche of legal practice with a vast lucrative potential and the likelihood of national prominence as Champions of Justice.

D. From a talking heads symposium to a strategic thinking meeting

6. If we keep working in isolation instead of joining forces to expose judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power by them and their appointees, such as guardians, we will make only as much progress as we have up to now: *None!*
7. In order to climb out of the pit in which we, advocates of honest judiciaries and victims of judges' abuse, are, we need to show an indispensable capacity: multitasking. It must be complemented by a foundational procedure of any successful organization: division of labor and delegation of tasks.
8. In addition, each one must contribute something of value greater than lip service. My website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, has attracted so many webvisitors that 37,354+ have become subscribers; see [Appendix 3](#). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know have a website with so many subscribers?
9. The subscribers have been attracted by my articles posted there, which demand attentive and long reading. So they are likely to be educated and well-off, as are the readers of *The New York Times* and its Sunday Magazine, *The New Yorker*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, *The Atlantic*, TIME, etc.
10. They can make a difference if we bring them and people similarly situated on board. So can the reporters of those publications if we manage to persuade them to expose judges' abuse, in general, and guardianship abuse, in particular, because thereby **they can benefit** commercially –"Scandal sell"- and reputationally.
11. The website's principal objective is to implement the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for forming a civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, collective demand for compensation by judges and their judiciaries, and reform of the judicial system through transformative change, first here, then abroad. This objective is realistic, feasible, and supported by repeatable precedent: the Tea Party, and the *MeToo!*, BLM, and socio-economic equality movements.
12. Hence it is in the interest of the Symposium organizers to help develop my website as proposed in its [business plan](#). It is guided by a motto that will appeal to capital investors: Making Money While Doing Justice.

E. Contacting former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson & her Judicial Watch lawyers

13. To increase the journalistic coverage of the Symposium the following information can prove useful because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.
14. Former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson did the Marie Long story about guardians that charged outrageously high fees for ‘services’ that they provided to their appointed ward, Marie Long. In a very short period of time, they sucked from her more than \$1 million and then spit her penniless at the feet of the state welfare system as an indigent.
 - a. See my letter to reporter Attkisson dated February 9, 2015; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf>OL:215.
 - b. The address that I used at the time: 22697 Hillside Circle, Leesburg, VA 20175
 - c. The phone number that I have for her is (703)327-0349
 - d. For every useful purpose, the name of her husband is James Howard Attkisson.
 - e. See also my letter to her CBS producer, Christopher Sholl, of May 18, 2011; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>.
15. This means that both reporter Attkisson and producer Sholl are aware of the gravity of guardianship abuse. If you want her to do more of the same, you have to find out what she is doing presently in order to identify a new angle that enables you to argue why pursuing the story again holds something in it for her. Telling her that you need her will not get you anywhere.
16. You may contact reporter Attkisson through her lawyers at Judicial Watch in Washington, DC. They represent her in her lawsuit against the Department of Justice. The latter intruded into her home and CBS office computers and roamed through them in its effort to spy on the state of her investigation into DoJ’s disastrous gunrunning tracking operation conducted by its Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Bureau and known as Fast and Furious: It sold guns to criminals in an attempt to follow the guns’ journey into the hands of Mexican druglords. One of the guns was used to kill an American border patrol.
17. As a result of her disturbing reports, Congress endeavored to find out how the Fast and Furious operation had been planned and implemented. It asked then-Attorney General Eric Holder to come in to be heard and bring pertinent documents. AG Holder would show up at the hearings with documents that had whole pages blacked-out!
18. Congress was so offended by his lack of candor, impaired credibility, and disrespect for its request for document that it took an exceptional disapproving measure: for the first time in its history, it held a sitting member of the President’s cabinet in contempt. In light of such vote of no-confidence, AG Holder resigned.
19. When DoJ roamed her computers, it also wanted to find out the state of her embarrassing investigation into the killing of the American ambassador and his aides at Benghazi, Libya, by Islamic militants.
20. Reporter Attkisson sued DoJ through her Judicial Watch lawyers for \$35 million. They have done to her what she described in the title of her book: “*Stonewalled*”
21. Another way of contacting reporter Attkisson is by approaching her lawyers at Judicial Watch:
 - a. inline email form: <https://www.judicialwatch.org/contact/>
 - b. phone: 1-888-JW-Ethic (1-888-593-8442)

c. email: info@judicialwatch.org

d. mail address:

Judicial Watch
425 Third Street SW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

Attention of:

Thomas Fitton – President, Board Member, Officer
Paul Orfanedes – Director of Litigation, Board Member, Officer
Chris Farrell – Director of Investigations & Research, Board Member

F. The federal government cannot be the solution to guardianship abuse

22. There is no way in which the federal government can even attempt, let alone act effectively, to prevent the exploitation of seniors and others by guardians:
- a. Probate is a subject matter strictly under state jurisdiction, as are domestic relations, including marriage and child upbringing, and inheritance rights. They are matters of local interest, which are better dealt with by local authorities. Therefore, it is almost certain that congressional Republicans would not agree to the exclusive transfer and exercise of probate power from the states to the federal government. They defend the rights of the states and call for a smaller federal government, whereas Democrats take the opposite view. On political considerations, such federal probate law has no chance of being adopted.
 - b. Even if the federal government adopted a law regulating probate matters and the appointment and supervision of guardians applicable nationwide, it could not claim exclusive jurisdiction to deprive state authorities, such as judges, of any role in its application: The U.S. Constitution does not provide for the transfer of probate matters from the states to the federal government, as it does concerning bankruptcy, patents, and the adoption of international treaties. Therefore, such federal law would be applied under the concurrent jurisdiction of federal and state authorities. Indeed, most federal laws can be invoked in state courts as the basis for the claims and defenses asserted.
 - c. Moreover, even if there were such federal probate law, it would always remain subject to challenges to it mounted by state authorities. For proof, there is the Federal Voting Act of 1965. Yet, right now the laws restricting voting rights adopted in Arizona and Georgia are before the U.S. Supreme Court, which this week heard arguments on those of Georgia. There is even a greater interest in challenging any federal probate law: *Money!* Too much money is at stake in appointing and supervising guardians. That stake will only grow in importance as the population grows older. Advanced age is not the only factor invoked as justification for the appointment of guardians: physical and mental infirmity caused by Covid-19 is another factor that will be invoked ever more often.
23. Probate matters and the attendant guardianship abuse must be dealt with out of court and legislative chambers. It must be brought out to the attention of the national public. The abuse must be exposed so as to cause national *outrage!* It must be put on a par with the Tea Party concern about taxes; the *MeToo!* denunciation of sexual abuse and harassment; the BLM protest against police brutality; and the struggle for socio-economic equality. There must be full exposure of the connivance

between politicians, who put judges on the bench; judges, who appoint and benefit from abusive guardians; and prosecutors, who condone the abuse because they do not want to antagonize judges capable of scuttling all prosecutions.

24. Thus, I reiterate my previous statement: If we, the Symposium presenters and similarly situated people, continue to work independently, never mind in the courts, we will achieve nothing. The Symposium will be nothing more than talking heads in an abandoned warehouse of Zoom mirrors.
25. We must join forces. We must do so together with those who have the means of nationally investigating and exposing guardianship abuse and the politician-judge-prosecutor connivers running a racketeering enterprise in pursuit of material and professional gains and convenience. Those are the media and academics, both knowledgeable professors and idealistic students.

G. Unprecedented citizens hearings for abusees to tell their stories and outrage the national public, the only entity strong enough to compel change

26. The national public must be outraged at the abuse of judges and their appointed guardians. We must provide the victims of these abusers the opportunity to tell their stories to the national public. That is the purpose of the proposed **unprecedented citizens hearings** held by the media and academics and conducted via video conference, which is the most inexpensive and the means of communication accepted by most people and capable of reaching most of the public...nationally and internationally.
27. People do not become experts qualified to advocate a cause simply because they are victims of the ill that it combats. Qualification is the result of knowledge, experience, and oral and writing skills to present and argue the cause. The overwhelming majority of victims only know their side of their own story and have never bothered to learn the other side of their story, never mind any other story, for each of them is convinced that his or her story reveals the most egregious manifestation of the ill...and that is more than sufficient to them. Yet, many of them can be compelling witnesses as they tell their story of victimization.
28. Victims' stories can provoke national outrage that stirs people up to demand change only if their stories reach the national public.
29. This impact will not be obtained by swapping their stories among the handful of Symposium presenters, who are lawyers, professors, and directors of organizations; and an audience of people who are not turned off by the word "Symposium".
30. Judges are much more likely to abuse Joe Schmock and Jane Widget, who never read a full email and would never listen to Symposium presentations. As many Joes and Janes as possible must be given the opportunity to do what they are able and willing to do: tell their stories. And they must tell them to the national public.
31. That opportunity can be afforded them by the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. These must be held by media stations and universities across the country, even if only via video conference. The hearings must take place continuously over a long period of time; cf. the BLM marches in Seattle every night for weeks on end.
32. In this vein, it is worth considering Academia, a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at 16,937 universities"; https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm.
33. Do you instinctively think strategically to detect the potential of that statement? We must reach

out to Academia so that it may serve as a conduit to as many of those universities as possible in order to persuade them to hold the unprecedented citizens hearings *in their own interest*, namely, as an innovative way of becoming agents of socio-political change by acting as “*We the People’s* loudspeaker” and a crafter of domestic policy.

34. That is how universities can become a powerhouse in American politics and in the process do something that they desperate need in the times of Covid-19: contain the dropping out of students and attract a sufficient number of them to be able to run effectively and, as the case may be, profitably too. As far as law schools goes and due to dwindling enrollment, some have closed down; two have merged; and others have reduced their curriculum to save themselves the salary of adjunct instructors.
35. This is an application of the strategic thinking principle of enlightened self-interest: We argue how our proposals advance their interests, not ours, in the reasonable expectation that their advancement will eventually advance our interests.

H. Symposium presenters as the group that guides the outrage and causes the national public to compel transformative change in the system of justice

36. The media/academics citizens hearings and the public outrage are mutually reinforcing forces. They can generate the commercial and reputational interest needed to spark what we need but lack the means of doing: a generalized media investigation of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, which includes abuse by guardians appointed and protected by judges. The media, acting *in their own interest*, can investigate and expose to the full the nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ abuse as the indispensable prerequisite to any reform of the system of justice.
37. The Symposium provides an excellent opportunity for a group of committed and highly educated people to think and proceed strategically and thereby become the core group that guides the national public to compel politicians to usher in transformative change in the system of justice: the system that goes into change comes out transformed into a new one where *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, wield the power to hold all of them, including judicial public servants, accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
38. There is precedent for this: The Tea Party so outraged the public at ever higher taxes that it became a real threat to politicians that did not support it, for they could be voted out of, or not into, office. There is a current manifestation of this threat in the Republican party: opposing Trump. These examples make realistic and feasible this out of court inform and outrage strategy for forming a single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, reform, and compensation.
39. Indeed, the strategy has an irresistibly appealing element: forming local chapters of victims of judges’ abuse to demand collectively compensation by judges and their judiciaries.
40. Therefore, I encourage you, Dr. Sugar, to call and hold a meeting of presenters before the Symposium. I offer to make therein a presentation on turning the Symposium from a one off event into a programmatic meeting.

I. The interception of emails

41. How do you explain that my reply to your email was returned as undeliverable with this error notice:

A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es) failed:

ssugarmd@msn.com

(ultimately generated from info@aaapg.net)

host msn-com.olc.protection.outlook.com [104.47.70.33]

SMTP error from remote mail server after pipelined MAIL

FROM:<dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net> SIZE=246795:

550 5.7.1 Unfortunately, messages from [23.254.252.197] weren't sent. Please contact your Internet service provider since part of their network is on our block list (S3140). You can also refer your provider to <http://mail.live.com/mail/troubleshooting.aspx#errors>. [BN7NAM10FT063.eop-nam10.prod.protection.outlook.com]

42. Are messages to you and among presenters being intercepted?; if so, by whom?
43. If you do not receive within a day my reply to your emails, my reply has been intercepted. In that event, call me at 1(718)827-9521.

J. Requested action

44. Therefore, I respectfully propose that you, Dr. Sugar, call a digital meeting of the Symposium presenters to be held as soon as possible to discuss how we can:
 - a. join forces for the long run;
 - b. bring in the media; and
 - c. inform and outrage the national public, the only entity strong enough to hold guardians and judges accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
45. To call that meeting and otherwise advance our common cause, you may share and post this email.
46. I offer to make a presentation at that digital meeting of presenters on joining forces for effective action as opposed to simply making a one-off presentation at the Symposium. If we work together, we can turn it from a wake of the converted to commiserate as they swap stories of dead efforts to make progress and dying chances of making any in future into [the first event](#) for forming a movement for exposing judges and their appointees; compensating their victims; and reforming the system of justice.

K. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE

to

Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 6, 2021

**The Symposium on Guardianship Abuse
an opportunity for you to take action in your own interest by joining
forces with lawyers and students to expose the underlying cause:
judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power[‡]**

Dear Ms. Turley, Symposium presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,
Thank you for your emails.

L. A video and a study to review to take action now in your own interest

47. I am pleased to let you know that you need not wait to hear more from me until the Guardianship Symposium on April 11-12, which is being organized by Dr. Sam Sugar, Director of Americans Against Abusive Probate Guardianship (AAAPG); ssugarmd@msn.com.
48. Thanks to Dr. Sugar's technical knowledge and generous support, I was able to [video](#) record a presentation –which can be followed on its [slides](#)– on my three-volume study* [†] [‡] of judges and their judiciaries, which is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * [†] [‡]](#)

49. What is more, even now you can take action in your own interest. Among academics and lawyers, you can become a pioneer in:
- holding accountable attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland and his fellow judges, who [dismissed a 100%](#) of the 476 complaints filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (CADCC) and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, the underlying causes for complaint and resulting harm notwithstanding, when he was the chief judge and a member of the circuit council, as shown by his Court's [official statistics](#) submitted by law to Congress, which is documented in the [article](#) thereon; and
 - preventing that President Biden's commission for the reform of the system of justice is not a whitewash that likewise dismisses any demand for it to investigate judges, the key agents of the system's injustice, for they are unaccountable and engage in consequent riskless [abuse of power](#) for their individual and collective gain and convenience.
50. To that end, you can watch the [video](#) with your current colleagues and your former ones at George Washington University and its law school.
- Then you can be a leader in taking action as students at [Harvard and Yale](#) did in opposing the confirmation of Then-judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court.
 - Now-Justice Kavanaugh will dismiss and otherwise hinder –as the other justices will too– any investigation of his peers and colleagues when he sat on that very same CADCC [together with](#) Judge Garland. Judges cover up for each other, for they can see the realistic threat written on their foreheads: “If you let them take me down, *I'll bring you with me!*”

M. You can help inform people and create a niche practice to help them

51. By helping to expose unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power and reciprocal exoneration

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1264
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 [†].../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

from all complaints, you can attract the attention of millions of litigants and their friends and families, workmates, customers, shareholders, etc., with something whose appeal is irresistible: the opportunity for collective demand to judges and their judiciaries for [compensation](#).

52. The exposure of abuse as judges' institutionalized modus operandi will open the gates for a flood of motions to vacate and remand; recuse; disqualify; resign individually and *en banc* as a court; etc.
53. You can help create for your current and former employers and fellow GWU members, including professors and deans, a niche practice of consultancy, litigation, and advocacy.
 - a. That has a promising prospect, particularly for the glut of unemployed lawyers and new graduates as well as law students, who will enter a jobless legal market. All will continue to experience for the foreseeable future the devastating impact of Covid-19 on the [legal profession](#): people suffering food insecurity and struggling to make rent or mortgage and car payments neither pay nor hire lawyers.
54. As a result, you can set in motion transformative change: the system of justice that goes into change comes out transformed into a new one...and you have a hand in writing its rules.
55. This is an opportunity for you to let all of them know what you are: one of *the best and the brightest*. That is how you can become a nationally recognized Champion of Justice.
56. To reach out to the national public, you can widely share this email and post it to social media such as:

Facebook,
WhatsApp,
Pinterest,Twitter:

Youtube,
Instagram,
Reddit,Twitter:

LinkedIn,
Google plus,
Snapchat,Twitter:

Joining forces to expose attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland's and fellow judges' abuse of power and prevent the commission for judicial reform from sparing them from investigation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

N. My offer of a presentation

57. I offer you and your guests a presentation via video conference on this prospect for action in your own interest. To schedule it get in touch with me using the contact information in the letterhead.

O. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE

to

Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead supra.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 10, 2021 as of March 13

**The Symposium on Guardianship Abuse:
how organizers and presenters can join forces to advertise it widely
enough to turn it into the first event for forming
a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure,
compensation of abusees, and reform[‡]**

Dear Symposium organizer Dr. Sam Sugar, presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. I have suggested that you, Dr. Sugar [ssugarmd@msn.com], call a meeting of presenters as soon as possible so that we can consider and implement ideas for:

§A. advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented citizens hearings;

§B. increasing the number of people willing and able to attend; and

§C. prolonging the impact of the Symposium beyond April 11-12 into the secular system of judges' abuse in connivance with politicians

2. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Not all emails provide the same amount and quality of it. Based on experience, one must learn to distinguish which are likely to provide the most so that they warrant the effort and time needed to read them.

a. Moreover, if one states “[I am available to any presenter to assist with their presentation at any time](#)”, then one should read their comments, especially those clearly aimed to contribute to the greater and longer-term success of the Symposium. Search in the email inbox for the name of the commenter and bypass the junk there.

b. To be an effective leader one must make the effort to learn about what those whom one wants to lead think and say...who will react to the effort with gratitude and loyalty.

c. To achieve the stated objective of closing the Symposium with a consensus position paper, the presenters must start working from now on proposing and negotiating such consensus.

A. Advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented citizens hearings

1. Advertising through organizations: e.g., the consortium Academia

3. My presentation is contained in an article that is a work in progress; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf.

4. I just added to it the following pertinent paragraphs:

“32. In this vein, it is worth considering Academia, a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at [16,937](#) universities"; Academia.edu .

“33. Do you instinctively think strategically to detect the potential of that statement? We must reach out to Academia so that it may serve as a conduit to as many of those universities as possible. We want to persuade them to hold the **unprecedented citizens hearings in their own interest**, namely, as an innovative way of becoming agents of socio-political change by acting as “*We the People*’s loudspeaker” and a crafter of domestic policy.”

2. From sham hearings in Congress & the Judiciary to citizens hearings

5. Congress holds hearings on judicial reform that are a sham, for politicians do not investigate the

same people that they put on the bench: Appointers do not discredit their appointees as incompetent or dishonest, lest they discredit themselves as “birds of the same feather, which fly together”.

6. Federal judges have held hearings on the [rules](#) for processing complaints against fellow judges filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980. Yet, the judges continue to [dismiss](#) 100% of complaints against them and deny 100% of the petitions to review those dismissals. Theirs are also [sham hearings](#).
7. It follows that trusting politicians who have signed up to reform the system of judge-appointed abusive guardians is an exercise in ignorance of where the interests of politicians lie; and how judges [cover for each other](#), for if one falls, he can bring down another with domino effect. As Then-Judge Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch put it: “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”.
8. That statement expresses judges’ gang mentality: It disregards any assessment of right or wrong; and unreflectively, as a primal instinct reaction, closes ranks against any perceived attacker of a gang member or interest. So, no politician dare denounce, let alone investigate, judges’ abuse of power, not even limit their unaccountability. Politicians have surrendered to the judicial gang’s rule of fear by declaring an executive order, a law, and even a party’s agenda unconstitutional; or upholding charges of election fraud brought against a candidate in the midst of a campaign.
 - a. The one extraordinary exception is Senator Elizabeth [Warren](#). In her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”, she denounces federal judges for refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest in one of the parties before them and steering the case so as to protect or even increase the value of such interest. Sen. Warren refers to it as abusive self-enrichment.
 - b. Despite an analysis [critical](#) of her plan, she should be asked to address the audience in support of the Symposium and the citizens hearings. To that end, her Washington, D.C., office should be contacted by phone to speak with her chief of staff, if at all possible, to cause the chief to agree to both retrieve a Symposium email containing a formal invitation from among the tens of thousands of emails sent there routinely and put it to Sen. Warren’s consideration. Merely sending her yet another email will accomplish nothing. See:
 - 1) info@elizabethwarren.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov
 - 2) <https://www.warren.senate.gov/contact/office-locations>, number of phone in her Washington, DC, office: (202)224-4543
 - 3) <https://www.warren.senate.gov/services/meeting-requests>: “To request a meeting with Elizabeth or one of her staffers, please send an email to scheduling@warren.senate.gov.”

3. Citizens hearings held by the media and academics in their interest

9. Hence the proposed unprecedented [citizens hearings](#). They will be organized and held by the media and universities in their own commercial and reputational interest. Panels of journalists, professors, and experts will take via video conference the testimony of the victims of judges’ abuse that they have suffered or witnessed. The outrage that the hearings will provoke will cause ever more media and universities to hold their own. A generalized investigation of judges and its outrageous findings will force politicians to adopt judicial reforms that today appear impossible but will then become unavoidable for politicians who want to remain in, or be voted into, office.

10. This explains the importance of reaching out to as many universities as possible. To that end, we want Academia to convey our proposal to all its 16,937 university members.
11. We also want the professors who will be presenting to reach out to their respective university press office. Such offices have access not only to other universities, but also to journalists and their media outlets. They can put on press conferences where we announce the Symposium and the proposal for the unprecedented citizens hearings *in their own interest*:
 - a. The citizens hearings are an innovative way for universities to become agents of socio-political change by acting as influencers and crafters of domestic policy.
 - b. Universities can become a powerhouse in American politics. In the process, they can do something that they desperately need in the times of Covid: contain the dropping out of students and attract a sufficient number of them to be able to run effectively and, as the case may be, profitably too. As far as law schools goes, dwindling enrollment has led some to close down; two have merged; and others have reduced their curriculum to save themselves the salary of adjunct instructors. The new role and regained prestige of universities can attract more students; and make it acceptable for professors to work for a lower salary.
 - c. The media can use these hearings to begin to remove the stain cast on them as ‘the enemy of the people that peddles fake news’ and make themselves a name as “*the People’s* loudspeaker”.
12. The above applies enlightened self-interest: first advancing the interest of others in the reasonably calculated expectation that their advancement will eventually advance our own interest.

4. Email addresses of law school professors and students

13. It is reasonable to assume that the presenting professors have easier access to fellow professors in other universities. They could share with them their own [invitation](#) to the Symposium; forward to them mine; or make a comment of their own to introduce mine.
14. I have many addresses of professors at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools. We can invite them to the Symposium just as we can offer to make a presentation to the students and professors of their schools. Indeed, we can embark on a digital tour of schools accessed via video conference...unless the schools agree to pay for our trip and related expenses.
15. These are some of the email addresses of professors and former students. The latter can reach out to their former classmates and professors, and the current class officers:

lessig@law.harvard.edu, vdeportu@law.harvard.edu, dersh@law.harvard.edu,
jg1861@law.georgetown.edu, katyaln@law.georgetown.edu, mtoday@umich.edu,
mjh335@law.georgetown.edu, jturley@law.gwu.edu, gucomm@georgetown.edu,
cgeyh@indiana.edu, debra.kroszner@yale.edu, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
doyle.mcmanus@georgetown.edu, jsnyder@gov.harvard.edu,
judith.resnik@yale.edu, jrz8@caa.columbia.edu, jonathanzell@caa.columbia.edu,
susan.rose-ackerman@yale.edu, rposner@uchicago.edu, genung@usc.edu,
hicadmin@bu.edu, hussman@unc.edu, legalclinic@law.northwestern.edu,
susan.dittmer@drake.edu, RWHEELER@brookings.edu, amarton@umd.edu,
abrahamchettissery@gmail.com, abbe.gluck@yale.edu, alisonsiegler@uchicago.edu,
a0600@georgetown.edu, areid@unc.edu,

5. Email addresses of journalists and media outlets

16. Some of the most important media outlets that we should try to contact to invite to cover the Symposium and hold citizens hearings are precisely those that already investigated state judges and published scathing reports on them:

- a. [Thomson Reuters](#) is a major U.S. news organization with some 2,500 journalists and some 600 photojournalists. In “The Teflon Robe” report, whose first of three parts was published on June 30, 2020, it decried “hardwired judicial corruption”:

marketresearch@thomsonreuters.com,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
andy.piness@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com,
contact@go.reuters.com, bthompson@legalnews.com, “Today Managing Editor Jim W. Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>, “VT Senior Editor Gordon Duff” <gpdf@aol.com>, matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com, Brad.Heath@tr.com,
craig.hettich@thomsonreuters.com, Caroline.Monahan@thomsonreuters.com,
Corinne.Perkins@thomsonreuters.com, Troy.Dunkley@thomsonreuters.com,
Pete.Hausler@thomsonreuters.com, Erin.Sullivan@thomsonreuters.com,
Cara.Kent@thomsonreuters.com, michael.eppenbach@thomsonreuters.com,
Ron.Madden@thomsonreuters.com, info@ask.legalsolutions.thomsonreuters.info,
connor.mcGovern@thomsonreuters.com, jeanette.wells@thomsonreuters.com,
Kayla.Jordan@ThomsonReuters.com, Frank.DeVito@thomsonreuters.com,

- b. Boston Globe published on September 30, 2018, “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

spotlight@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, “Brian McGrory Editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, “Mark Morrow Senior Deputy Managing Editor” <mark.morrow@globe.com>, support@bostonglobe.zendesk.com, comments@globe.com, “Jennifer Peter Managing Editor” <jennifer.peter@globe.com>, newsletters@email.bostonglobe.com, “David Dahl Deputy Managing Editor Print and Operations” <david.dahl@globe.com>, “Jason Tuohey Managing Editor Digital” <jason.tuohey@globe.com>, “Anica Butler Deputy Managing Editor for local news” <anica.butler@globe.com>, “Veterans Today Managing Editor Jim W Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>,

- c. LexisNexis is the main competitor of Thomson Reuters. It should be contacted to argue that it is in its competitive interest to cover the Symposium and hold citizens hearings:

communication@lexisnexis.com, evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com,
tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com,
Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com, john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com,
austin.dunn@mail.lexisnexis.com,

- d. For the same purpose as the Academia consortium of universities mentioned in paragraph 4 supra, the following entities can be contacted:

- 1) the Accrediting Council on Education in Journalism and Mass Communications; it also provides the names and contact information of its [117 accredited schools](#)
- 2) the American Bar Association has a list of its [199 approved law schools](#)

- 3) “the Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs (ACBSP) is a global business education accrediting body [with] 1,200 programs in 60 countries”; it can be [contacted](#) in connection with an event.
- e. All the above as well as those below can be [contacted](#) with a pitch on, among other things, why it is in [their interest to investigate](#) attorney general Judge Merrick Garland and his fellow judges, and President Biden’s commission for the reform of the system of justice, and why guardianship abuse should be part of their investigation:

NTotenberg@npr.org, MCoyle@alm.com, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
aglantz@stanford.edu, info@AP.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com,
morningwire@apnews.com, Brianne.sabino@buzzfeed.com, li@newsday.com,
opinion.video@nytimes.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com,
editor@americanthinker.com, editor@newsday.com, aturturro@alm.com,
Opencourt@cnn.com, jathomsen@alm.com, investigate@kirotv.com,
oped@nytimes.com, letters@nytimes.com, inytletters@nytimes.com,

6. Advertising by sharing and posting this email

17. You can share this email with your colleagues, friends, and family as widely as possible. Hence; you can post it to social media such as:

Facebook,	Youtube,	LinkedIn,
WhatsApp,	Instagram,	Google plus,
Pinterest,	Reddit,	Snapchat,

Twitter: Joining forces to expose attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland’s and fellow judges’ abuse of power and prevent the commission for judicial reform from sparing them from investigation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf

B. Increasing the number of attendees: an economic model & the right timing

18. The Symposium is going to be virtual, occurring only via video conference. It should apply the economic model of the radio, TV, and the Internet:
- the basic service is offered free of charge, i.e. appealing programs are offered that attract an audience;
 - advertisers, which include named sponsors, are the source of revenue that pays for the basic service;
 - premium services are offered on a fee-paying basis; e.g., streaming access to whole seasons of a series.

1. Judicial Discipline Reform **illustrates the economic model**

19. The website off Judicial Discipline Reform is at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. My articles posted there are the service of providing information and analysis that I offer for free. They have attracted so many webvisitors that 37,379+ have become subscribers; see [Appendix 3](#). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know have a website with so many subscribers?
20. I have a list of 20,000 email addresses. To them I constantly email my articles for free.
21. My website and articles are based on my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, the

product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study* † ♣ is titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

22. Yet, literally only a handful of people have ever made a donation to Judicial Discipline Reform.
23. However, the high number of subscribers to my website, namely, 37,379+, has economic value: It proves to capital investors that my site appeals to the well-off and educated segment of the public that not only reads, but also wants to read long and intellectually demanding articles. This is evidence in support of investing in developing it as described in my [business plan](#), which is guided by the motto: "Making Money While Doing Justice".

2. Covid-increased acceptance & expectation of free video conferences

24. The stay-at-home mandate as a Covid-19 social-distancing measure has led an astonishingly large and ever growing number of people to accept communicating via video conference. The communication service offered by Zoom is free.
25. People who have become accustomed to meeting for free on the Internet via Zoom and other similar video conference platforms are most likely not willing to pay to attend a symposium. How many presenters would pay a \$15 fee to attend any symposium, never mind this Symposium?
26. That word 'symposium' 'indicts' the meeting as one addressed only to the highly educated. It drives away the majority of victims of judges' abuse of power, including guardians' abuse. They are not emotionally attracted by listening to other people tell *their* story, which intellectually is hard work.
27. A symposium that only attracts the highly educated, such as those who move in the circles of the presenters, will end up being nothing but a one-off event that merely enhances the reputation of the presenters: climbers on a soap box at the back of the park.
28. The intellectual discourse of yet another group of educated people talking among themselves on the Internet will not cause judges and their appointees to give up the gains and convenience that they grab through their abuse of power.
29. Neither will the cries of pain and anger of a group of victims swapping their stories as they vie for the recognition as the most horribly abused victim in history...despite lacking any basis for comparison because they do not pay attention to other victims' stories, and *forget about their reading other victims' stories!*
30. Only a national public informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse of power can generate enough pressure on politicians to investigate 'their men and women on the bench', whom they protect regardless of their abuse so as not to incur devastating retaliation from the whole judicial class.

3. A free Symposium preparing abusees' storytelling at citizens hearings

31. To begin to inform and outrage the national public, the organizers and presenters must attract to the Symposium as many victims of abuse by judges -not only by guardians or guardian-appointing judges- as possible by offering them for free the only service that interests them: the opportunity to tell their story of abuse.
32. Each victim of abuse deems his or her story the one with the most egregious example of abuse. If they could tell it to the world, the world would be shocked, come to their aid, and force the judge to do them justice. Wishful thinking trumps knowledge, preventing the acquisition of facts and

their integration into comforting fantasies, which would force their reconfiguration and transformation into new conceptions of reality. Yet, their story burns them inside. They need to tell it.

33. The Symposium should be billed as the free service preparing the unprecedented citizens hearings as the venue where the victims will have the opportunity to tell their story to the whole world.
 - a. Reuters asked its readers to send it their stories of judicial abuse of power. The advertising of the Symposium and the citizens hearings should include an invitation to the public to write their stories by applying [the two-step method](#) (>OL3:1148§G) for doing so in up to 500 words and send it to Reuters and to all and any other media outlets using, to begin with, the email addresses in paragraph [15 supra](#).
34. Earning revenue will have to wait until there is a premium service that victims and educated people want to pay for; e.g., access on a fee-paying basis to a database and [research](#) and [auditing](#) software on judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. Joining the demand for [compensation](#) for the abuse suffered is what will attract the largest number of fee-payers. Now is the time only for increasing the number of attendees so that it points to the public acceptance of the message.

C. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

35. The organizers and presenters must decide whether they conceive of the Symposium as an opportunity to hear each other talk or as the first event for informing the national public about, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power. The former requires nothing more than appearing before your computer at the time of your Symposium presentation and delivering it.
36. The latter requires both commitment to making a difference in the system that that allows judges' and their appointees' unaccountability and riskless abuse, and engagement in strategic thinking.
 - a. Presenting-professors must decide whether they want to 'live or die' in their universities by having yet another article published in a professional journal or by being recognized nationally as a member of the steering committee for forming a Tea-Party/*MeToo*!/BLM/socio-economic equality-like movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform.
 - b. Picture yourself in that photo of us at a press conference where we make an Emile Zola's *I accuse!*-like denunciation of judges' abuse of power in connivance with politicians, including the abuse [committed and covered up](#) by attorney general Judge Merrick Garland and President Biden's commission to reform the judicial system.

D. Requested action and offer to present this proposal

37. To discuss these proposals I reiterate my request that Dr. Sugar call a meeting of presenters via video conference as soon as possible.
38. I offer to make a presentation at that meeting on:
 - a. advertising the Symposium and causing the media and universities to hold the unprecedented citizens hearings; and the need to practice the division of labor, specialization, and delegation indispensable for a group of people to become an organization
 - b. increasing the audience and financing the Symposium; and
 - c. prolonging its impact by making it set in motion a movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Turning

The Guardianship Abuse Symposium

**into the event that launches the formation of
a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue
movement for judicial abuse of power exposure,
compensation of abusees, and transformational reform[‡]**

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England

M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School

D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, CorderoRic@yahoo.com

tel. 1(718)827-9521

© 2021 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., is a presenter at, and interested in the broadest and long-term success of,

The Guardianship Abuse Symposium

April 11-12, 2021, via Zoom

organized by **Dr. Sam Sugar, MD**, Director of

Americans Against Abusive Probate Guardianship (AAAPG)

ssugarmd@msn.com , drsam@aaapg.net , info@aaapg.net

“Whatever the future of our movement turns out to be, it must evolve, it must unify, it must act with purpose, structure, and planning.” Dr. Sugar in his email under the subject line “Guardianship Terrorism” of December 9, 2019, to Dr. Cordero.

What follows is a proposal harmonious with those requirements for forming our movement. It is based on Dr. Cordero’s study of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

Articles based thereon are posted to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
It has attracted so many webvisitors that 37,416+ have become subscribers. Join them.

Overview of the Presentation

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf

A. Yet another symposium

v.

the beginning of a movement

B. Advertising the Symposium and
the unprecedented citizens hearings

C. Increasing audience; financing Symposium

D. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

E. Requested action by each presenter

F. Every meaningful cause needs donations

**A. Yet another symposium
v.
the beginning of a movement**

1. Zero progress in holding judges accountable
2. Einstein: Doing the same thing while expecting a different is the hallmark of irrationality
3. Congressional & judiciary **sham hearings**
4. Rep. J. **Nadler** contrasted with Sen. E. **Warren**
5. Movement precedents: Tea Party; *MeToo!*; BLM; police brutality protests; socio-economic equality
6. Out-of-court inform & **outrage** *We the People*

B. Advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented **citizens hearings**

1. held by media & universities to hear abusees
2. **Journalists**: scandal sells; Pulitzer; clean name
 - a. AG **Garland** dismissed **100%** of **complaints**
 - b. Biden's judicial system reform **commission**
3. Abusees: write, send, and tell **your story**
 - a. join a collective demand for **compensation**
4. Universities: policy influencer & powerhouse
 - a. **attract** deans, professors, and students

C. Increasing audience; financing Symposium

1. using entities as gateways to their members
 - a. university press office; **student** class officers and organizations; **peers** at other universities
 - b. the consortium **Academia**
 - c. press clubs; **tips@media**; press releases
 - d. professional schools **accrediting** entities
 - e. **AARP**; public defenders; **bar** associations
2. Strength in numbers: word of mouth; going viral
3. **Developing Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org**

D. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

1. Continued advertising after the Symposium
2. 2,400+ law professors & 2,000+ mothers in the legal profession v. Kavanaugh in *NYT*
3. Lawyers Defending American Democracy; CLEs
4. Harvard & Yale students v. Kavanaugh now to research, write theses, call for articles on judges'
 - a. interception of emails and mail
 - b. failure to read most briefs
 - c. filing fraudulent financial disclosure reports
5. Promoting virtual/physical tour of presentations that

identify what is in it **for** sponsors, media, audience

6. Making allies: enemy of my enemy is my friend
 - a. the **dissatisfied** 50%: **parties who lost** in court
 - b. offering issue to **politicians** searching for one
 - c. forming local chapters of abusees to demand **compensation**, assisted and reported on by:
 - d. unemployed lawyers and law students
 - e. journalism & business **students: team course** and Judiciary as **racketeering enterprise**
 - f. a **consortium** of Information Technology **experts** to examine the Judiciary's network

E. Requested action by each presenter

1. Statement of level of commitment
2. Division of labor; specialization; delegation
3. Organize our presentation to your students and faculty; and colleagues and guests
4. Set the example: hold citizens hearings
5. Concerted effort to have Emile Zola's *I accuse!* & *MeToo!*-like article and press conference to spark a generalized media **investigation** of judges
6. Search for **Deep Throat** judges & **clerks**
7. Monthly meeting of presenters and supporters

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources

none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE
to
Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to
Judicial Discipline Reform, 2165 Bruckner Blvd, Bronx, NY 10472

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 7, 2021

**Dr. Sugar has excluded Dr. Cordero from the Guardianship Abuse Symposium:
is that how a national civic movement is built?‡**

Dear Dr. Sugar, Symposium presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. You, Dr. Sugar, took the initiative to send me an invitation to present at the Symposium. I accepted it and timely sent in the requested information, which is contained in the file whose link is below‡.
2. On Sunday, March 7, you asked me by email the following question of federal law,

[I need to ask you a simple question](#)

[Is there any way to activate the federal government apparatus to EFFECTIVELY enjoin the state probate courts to prevent them from exploiting seniors and others through guardianship?](#)

[If there is, please tell me how](#)

3. I answered your question the same day by email. It is now at ‡>OL3:1260§F.
4. On March 9, you emailed me only this in the Subject: line. “Re: [when can the 2 of us speak or zoom?](#)” That same day I replied, “I am always available to speak with you.” We Zoomed that day. Upon my mentioning that my emailing list has some 20,000 email addresses, you asked me whether I was willing to advertise your Symposium to them. I said that I was and have kept my word: I have repeatedly emailed my articles advertising it; some are contained in my file‡.
5. You showed interest in my proposal that you contact deans of law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools as well as their deans of students so that through them their professors and students could be interested in both the Symposium and the underlying issue of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.
6. So I researched and provided you with my findings: entities that could facilitate access to schools whose professors and students could be interested in attending the Symposium. So I wrote:

It is worth considering [Academia.edu](#), a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at 16,937 universities"...

- 1) the Accrediting Council on Education in Journalism and Mass Communications; it also provides the names and contact information of its [117 accredited schools](#)
 - 2) the American Bar Association has a list of its [199 approved law schools](#)
 - 3) “the Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs (ACBSP) is a global business education accrediting body [with] 1,200 programs in 60 countries”; it can ‘be [contacted](#) in connection with an event’.
7. In the Zoom meeting on March 17, in the presence of the other presenters who attended it, you stated that ‘you wanted to call me in the next few days’.
 8. You called me on March 23 and requested my advice on advertising the Symposium.
 9. After our March 23 conversation, I sent you that same day and the following day dozens of physical addresses of deans, whom I had contacted by letter. I also stated why and how to contact Sen. Elizabeth Warren; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson; the news agency Thomson Reuters; its

competitor and provider of research databases LexisNexis; the newspaper Boston Globe; etc.

10. I proposed that you and your Symposium sponsors hire me to contact the above-mentioned entities and people to advertise the Symposium and interest them in researching guardianship abuse, which I cannot do for free and which I had [done before](#). You never replied to that proposal. Yet, I had been sending to you and my emailing list an advertising email under the Subject: line: “Turning the Guardianship Abuse Symposium into the event that launches the formation of a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformational reform”.
11. Instead, you sent me an email under the subject line “[I hired a student to find emails this is what she found](#)”. In the text of your email you sent me only this:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B6lqWvfRRXXz1tp77k5fl6p70zptzNCmzGAhzvBBJvU/edit?usp=sharing>

[Law School Contact Information Sheet](#)

Sheet1 School Name,Position,Contact Email NEW YORK LAW SCHOOLS St. John's University School of Law,The Dean of the Law School,simonsm@stjohns.edu The Dean of Students The Student President and Officers of the Law School Class Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, The Dean of the Law School,<...

docs.google.com

12. I expressed my astonishment, for that student found only five email addresses! My warning to you was borne out: “You get what you pay for.”
13. I took your email for a cry for help in advertising the Symposium in the few remaining days before its start. I restated my proposal that instead you hire me to do the necessary work. [You have reacted](#) by sending me the following email:

[Sir](#)

[I have done all i am willing to do to cater to your wishes, far more than for any of the other speakers. I do not have the resources or time or patience to deal with you and your exceedingly long emails and I certainly have no intentions of hiring you.](#)

- a. What wishes have I expressed and which have you ever catered to?
- b. I have expressed the reasonable expectation that you would read the emails of presenters, to which expectation you gave rise when you wrote in your emails of March 3 and 9, as follows:

[I will update information on a regular basis and I am available to any presenter to assist with their presentation at any time.](#)

- c. It was you who years ago took the initiative to reply to one of my regularly emailed 2-5 page-long articles and invite me to join forces. You have known the length and tenor of my articles and emails for years.
- d. It is those articles and emails that have attracted so many visitors to my website at

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> and they have reacted so positively that 37,602+ have become subscribers.

Your articles ask for donations to your organization--that is not permissible in this event.

- e. In your email of March 3, you wrote as follows, which I specifically brought to your attention and you ratified by implication:

Feel free to promote your organization if that's appropriate, and even to solicit donations to it, if you desire.

Given the uniformly negative comments from other presenters about your proposed talk I feel I have no choice but to disengage.

- f. On March 26, you sent to 31 people, including the presenters, an email under the subject line “I would like your opinion n [sic] this document from Dr. Cordero within the next couple of days”
- g. The text of your email was simply a link: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf.
- h. The file reached through that link contains most of my Symposium articles, including the slides that I prepared for my presentation.
- i. Only four people responded to your request for an opinion, all on March 26. Had they read my articles, they would have cited to at least one of them, never mind the heading or paragraph that they were commenting on, or quote me!, as lawyers, judges, and professional do when commenting on somebody’s statements. Also on March 26, this is all you wrote:

third reply all negative so far
and they DID read everything

- j. Thereby you vouched emphatically for their having read “everything”. The only way you could be in a position to ascertain independently that they had read “everything” was if you too had read “everything” and found that their comments were consistent with your reading of “everything”.
- k. Since they emailed their opinion to all the 31 people from whom you had requested one, it is easy to verify that the following is their opinion:

Calling the judges “criminals” or other such dispersions [read ‘aspersions’] will not get us the audience we want.

Calling out any individual gives the “system” an excuse not to investigate. This is a “whack a mole” approach.

These systems – the various probate, guardianship and equity courts, are failing because of the lack of training, supervision and accountability of EVERYONE who is participating in these proceedings, and most especially the judicial officers.

I know that to the victims, and I am a victim too, it feels like you have been struck by a well-orchestrated criminal element. It feels that way.

But, the simple truth is that these proceedings are being undermined

by incompetence and the failure to even know, much less abide by, THE RULE OF LAW.

The Los Angeles Times just ran an article about the #FreeBritney movement. They got it exactly right. **Lisa MacCarley**, <lisamaccarley@gmail.com>

I think it's way to [sic] long and I agree with Lisa. Shouldn't call judges criminals. Point out that the system has a lot of loop holes and flawed causing a lot of mismanagement, no transparency or accountability. **Luanne Fleming**, <faceusradio@hotmail.com>

I think we are most effective when our words are succinct and measured - neither overkill nor understatement. We can show passion without derogation. **Beverly Newman**, helpelders@hotmail.com

I am not for name calling or derogatory speech.

I think like in any system there are flaws.

The recent movie, "I care a lot" is a fiction that plays right into fears of many and the belief that a person has been victimized.

I see many a case were there are predators that take advantage of elderly people and the "System" rescues them.

The system has been decimated by budget cuts and the lack of manpower to investigate abuses.

Yes, I operate within the system, and sometimes I don't agree with the outcomes, but many times I do.

All extremes are bad. **Luis Barreto** <lbarreto@miamiprobate.com>

L. In what conceivable way does their opinion address the substance of my articles, never mind impugn it? Instead, they beg the question:

What name did Dr. Cordero call whom;
and where did he call it?

- m. You all may know that the original of that question was asked from 1973 on by Sen. Howard Baker, co-chairman of the Senate Watergate Committee. It had a devastating "negative impact", leading to the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974; and to the imprisonment of all his aides. This is described by *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein in their bestseller *All the President's Men* and the homonymous blockbuster movie.
- n. Given that you and the three responders allegedly read my file, it should be very easy for you to answer the above question.
- o. Not even you, Dr. Sugar, were able to answer that question, for neither you nor they had

read my Symposium articles. Instead, Ms. MacCarley wrote her ‘opinion’ and the other people only read it, took it for accurate, and gave their opinion on it. A classic example of *pyramid commenting!*

- p. On what substantive basis in their ‘opinions’ did you, Dr. Sugar, rely to contradict your email of March 10, where you highlighted precisely the “[wide variety of viewpoints and perspectives \[of\] the impressive array of speakers who will be discussing the guardianship crisis](#)” at the Symposium.
- q. The 31 people to whom they emailed their opinion need not wait for their answer to my question, which the opinion-givers are unlikely ever to send, for that would require them to actually read what I wrote.
- r. All of them and the Symposium will benefit from performing a balancing test between:
 - the substantive content
 - of the opinion-givers’ “[uniformly negative responses](#)”
 - and
 - 1) my presentation [slides](#)(OL3:1273);
 - 2) my file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; and the articles that I have researched, written, and
 - 3) emailed to advertise the Symposium repeatedly to the circa 20,000 email addresses in my emailing list.

14. Since I began emailing articles that I had written, they have had a substantially positive impact on readers, attracting many of them to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> and causing 37,548+ to become subscribers.

15. My presentation [slides](#)(OL3:1273), submitted before the deadline, and my articles are based on my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries. The study is the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣

[I am therefore retracting my invitation for you to speak at this event and cancelling your appearance at my discretion. I wish you luck in your future endeavors.](#)

16. You, Dr. Sugar, have shown inconsistent and erratic behavior as well as lack of appreciation for the assistance that you have asked and received from me, and lack of proportionality. This is not how a person develops in others respect, trust, and loyalty so necessary to turn one symposium into a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

17. I have tried to develop that movement, intended to benefit all the victims of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power. A widely advertised and broadly attended Symposium is an excellent means of doing that. For the sake of that movement, I earnestly wish that you and all the presenters reach the largest audience possible and your message from a “[wide variety of viewpoints and perspectives](#)” resonates with people of many different walks of life.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
[Judicial Discipline Reform](#)

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Appendixes

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

The above article is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking in support of the cause of honest judiciaries that in fact administer Equal Justice Under Law. This cause is pursued through the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy of forming a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform. Its pursuit is conducted at:

Judicial Discipline Reform

It has produced a three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

It maintains a website at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Visit it to strengthen yourself by reading its articles because
KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

So have done so many webvisitors and they have reacted to its articles so positively that 37,410+ have become subscribers. To join them for free:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

Donate

to Judicial Discipline Reform

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:


http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Users [Add New](#)













Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (37,724) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (37,723)**

Bulk actions Change role to... 37,724 items 1 of 1,887

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaPiopsy	—	enn.max.to.y@gmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaBNmvsUDXWuwO	—	kelflee@yahoo.com	Subscriber	0

April 15, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

Judicial Discipline Reform

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,723+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
2. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 - Cf. a. id. on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
 - b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
3. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
4. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
5. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
6. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

7. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
8. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
9. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
10. *>Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
11. *>OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
12. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
13. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
14. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
15. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
16. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
17. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
18. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
19. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
20. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
21. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
22. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
23. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
24. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
25. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
26. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
27. OL2:760; see OL2:608
28. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
29. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
30. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
31. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf

32. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
33. t>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
34. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
35. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
36. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
37. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
38. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
39. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
40. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
41. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
42. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
43. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
44. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
45. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
46. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
47. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
48. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
49. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
50. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
51. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
52. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
53. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
54. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
55. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
56. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
57. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf

58. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
59. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
60. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
61. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
62. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
63. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
64. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
65. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
66. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
67. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
68. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
69. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
70. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
71. OL2:1144; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
72. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
73. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
74. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
75. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
76. *>OL2:1; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
77. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
78. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
79. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
80. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
81. *>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
82. OL2:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
83. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
84. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
85. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf

86. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
87. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
88. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
89. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
90. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
91. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
92. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
93. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

94. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
95. statistical analysis for the public(† >OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
96. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
97. to receive 'justice services'(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
98. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
99. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68^{123a});
100. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
101. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
102. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
103. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
104. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
105. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:5

'MeToo! Abusers'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;

106. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
107. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
108. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
109. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);

- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
110. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
111. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of April 15, 2021, had 37,723 subscribers, into:
- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions(jur:130§5);
112. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:
- a. judges' abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
113. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference(jur:97§1; *>dcc:13§C) on judges' abuses held at a top university(OL2:452) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
114. the call of the constitutional convention(OL:136§3) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful quotations and external links

1. U.S. Supreme Court

115. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
116. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
117. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
118. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
119. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice

2. U.S. Code and rules (federal, as opposed to state, laws)

120. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
121. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
122. The Ethics in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
123. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
124. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
125. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
126. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
127. Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence, USC 28a; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
128. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#))
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
- b. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
129. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
130. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of those who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
131. Ethics in Government Act of 1978; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_Gov_14apr9.pdf

3. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal , as opposed to state, courts)

132. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

133. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
134. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
135. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
136. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
137. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
138. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
139. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
140. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
141. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>

4. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

142. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

5. State laws and statistics

143. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

6. Entities accrediting educational institutions (serving as portals to them)

144. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
145. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
146. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
147. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

7. Law book publishers

148. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
149. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
150. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdcCampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_c

ontent=9030215

151. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

8. Other entities and people

152. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>

153. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

154. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”;
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

155. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>

156. Judges’ annual financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org;
<https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

,

End Page

Blank

Blank

Blank