

Turning

The Guardianship Abuse Symposium

**into the event that launches the formation of
a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue
movement for judicial abuse of power exposure,
compensation of abusees, and transformational reform[‡]**

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England

M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School

D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, CorderoRic@yahoo.com

tel. 1(718)827-9521

© 2021 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., is a presenter at, and interested in the broadest and long-term success of,

The Guardianship Abuse Symposium

April 11-12, 2021, via Zoom

organized by **Dr. Sam Sugar, MD**, Director of

Americans Against Abusive Probate Guardianship (AAAPG)

ssugarmd@msn.com , drsam@aaapg.net , info@aaapg.net

“Whatever the future of our movement turns out to be, it must evolve, it must unify, it must act with purpose, structure, and planning.” Dr. Sugar in his email under the subject line “Guardianship Terrorism” of December 9, 2019, to Dr. Cordero.

What follows is a proposal harmonious with those requirements for forming our movement. It is based on Dr. Cordero’s study of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

Articles based thereon are posted to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
It has attracted so many webvisitors that 37,416+ have become subscribers. Join them.

Overview of the Presentation

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf

A. Yet another symposium

v.

the beginning of a movement

B. Advertising the Symposium and
the unprecedented citizens hearings

C. Increasing audience; financing Symposium

D. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

E. Requested action by each presenter

F. Every meaningful cause needs donations

**A. Yet another symposium
v.
the beginning of a movement**

1. Zero progress in holding judges accountable
2. Einstein: Doing the same thing while expecting a different is the hallmark of irrationality
3. Congressional & judiciary **sham hearings**
4. Rep. J. **Nadler** contrasted with Sen. E. **Warren**
5. Movement precedents: Tea Party; *MeToo!*; BLM; police brutality protests; socio-economic equality
6. Out-of-court inform & **outrage** *We the People*

B. Advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented **citizens hearings**

1. held by media & universities to hear abusees
2. **Journalists**: scandal sells; Pulitzer; clean name
 - a. AG **Garland** dismissed **100%** of **complaints**
 - b. Biden's judicial system reform **commission**
3. Abusees: write, send, and tell **your story**
 - a. join a collective demand for **compensation**
4. Universities: policy influencer & powerhouse
 - a. **attract** deans, professors, and students

C. Increasing audience; financing Symposium

1. using entities as gateways to their members
 - a. university press office; **student** class officers and organizations; **peers** at other universities
 - b. the consortium **Academia**
 - c. press clubs; **tips@media**; press releases
 - d. professional schools **accrediting** entities
 - e. **AARP**; public defenders; **bar** associations
2. Strength in numbers: word of mouth; going viral
3. **Developing Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org**

D. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

1. Continued advertising after the Symposium
2. 2,400+ law professors & 2,000+ mothers in the legal profession v. Kavanaugh in *NYT*
3. Lawyers Defending American Democracy; CLEs
4. Harvard & Yale students v. Kavanaugh now to research, write theses, call for articles on judges'
 - a. interception of emails and mail
 - b. failure to read most briefs
 - c. filing fraudulent financial disclosure reports
5. Promoting virtual/physical tour of presentations that

identify what is in it **for** sponsors, media, audience

6. Making allies: enemy of my enemy is my friend
 - a. the **dissatisfied** 50%: **parties who lost** in court
 - b. offering issue to **politicians** searching for one
 - c. forming local chapters of abusees to demand **compensation**, assisted and reported on by:
 - d. unemployed lawyers and law students
 - e. journalism & business **students: team course** and Judiciary as **racketeering enterprise**
 - f. a **consortium** of Information Technology **experts** to examine the Judiciary's network

E. Requested action by each presenter

1. Statement of level of commitment
2. Division of labor; specialization; delegation
3. Organize our presentation to your students and faculty; and colleagues and guests
4. Set the example: hold citizens hearings
5. Concerted effort to have Emile Zola's *I accuse!*- & *MeToo!*-like article and press conference to spark a generalized media **investigation** of judges
6. Search for **Deep Throat** judges & **clerks**
7. Monthly meeting of presenters and supporters

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources

none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE
to
Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to
Judicial Discipline Reform, 2165 Bruckner Blvd, Bronx, NY 10472

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 7, 2021

**Dr. Sugar has excluded Dr. Cordero from the Guardianship Abuse Symposium:
is that how a national civic movement is built?‡**

Dear Dr. Sugar, Symposium presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. You, Dr. Sugar, took the initiative to send me an invitation to present at the Symposium. I accepted it and timely sent in the requested information, which is contained in the file whose link is below‡.
2. On Sunday, March 7, you asked me by email the following question of federal law,

[I need to ask you a simple question](#)

[Is there any way to activate the federal government apparatus to EFFECTIVELY enjoin the state probate courts to prevent them from exploiting seniors and others through guardianship?](#)

[If there is, please tell me how](#)

3. I answered your question the same day by email. It is now at †>OL3:1260§F.
4. On March 9, you emailed me only this in the Subject: line. “Re: [when can the 2 of us speak or zoom?](#)” That same day I replied, “I am always available to speak with you.” We Zoomed that day. Upon my mentioning that my emailing list has some 20,000 email addresses, you asked me whether I was willing to advertise your Symposium to them. I said that I was and have kept my word: I have repeatedly emailed my articles advertising it; some are contained in my file‡.
5. You showed interest in my proposal that you contact deans of law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools as well as their deans of students so that through them their professors and students could be interested in both the Symposium and the underlying issue of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.
6. So I researched and provided you with my findings: entities that could facilitate access to schools whose professors and students could be interested in attending the Symposium. So I wrote:

It is worth considering [Academia.edu](#), a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at 16,937 universities"...

- 1) the Accrediting Council on Education in Journalism and Mass Communications; it also provides the names and contact information of its [117 accredited schools](#)
 - 2) the American Bar Association has a list of its [199 approved law schools](#)
 - 3) “the Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs (ACBSP) is a global business education accrediting body [with] 1,200 programs in 60 countries”; it can ‘be [contacted](#) in connection with an event’.
7. In the Zoom meeting on March 17, in the presence of the other presenters who attended it, you stated that ‘you wanted to call me in the next few days’.
 8. You called me on March 23 and requested my advice on advertising the Symposium.
 9. After our March 23 conversation, I sent you that same day and the following day dozens of physical addresses of deans, whom I had contacted by letter. I also stated why and how to contact Sen. Elizabeth Warren; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson; the news agency Thomson Reuters; its

competitor and provider of research databases LexisNexis; the newspaper Boston Globe; etc.

10. I proposed that you and your Symposium sponsors hire me to contact the above-mentioned entities and people to advertise the Symposium and interest them in researching guardianship abuse, which I cannot do for free and which I had done before; **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.** You never replied to that proposal. Yet, I had been sending to you and my emailing list an advertising email under the Subject: line: “Turning the Guardianship Abuse Symposium into the event that launches the formation of a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformational reform”.
11. Instead, you sent me an email under the subject line “[I hired a student to find emails this is what she found](#)”. In the text of your email you sent me only this:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B6lqWvfRRXXz1tp77k5f16p70zptzNCmzGAhzvBBJvU/edit?usp=sharing>

Law School Contact Information Sheet

Sheet1 School Name,Position,Contact Email NEW YORK LAW SCHOOLS St. John's University School of Law,The Dean of the Law School,simonsm@stjohns.edu The Dean of Students The Student President and Officers of the Law School Class Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, The Dean of the Law School,<...

docs.google.com

12. I expressed my astonishment, for that student found only five email addresses! My warning to you was borne out: “You get what you pay for.”
13. I took your email for a cry for help in advertising the Symposium in the few remaining days before its start. I restated my proposal that instead you hire me to do the necessary work. [You have reacted](#) by sending me the following email:

Sir

[I have done all i am willing to do to cater to your wishes, far more than for any of the other speakers. I do not have the resources or time or patience to deal with you and your exceedingly long emails and I certainly have no intentions of hiring you.](#)

- a. What wishes have I expressed and which have you ever catered to?
- b. I have expressed the reasonable expectation that you would read the emails of presenters, to which expectation you gave rise when you wrote in your emails of March 3 and 9, as follows:

[I will update information on a regular basis and I am available to any presenter to assist with their presentation at any time.](#)

- c. It was you who years ago took the initiative to reply to one of my regularly emailed 2-5 page-long articles and invite me to join forces. You have known the length and tenor of my articles and emails for years.

- d. It is those articles and emails that have attracted so many visitors to my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> and they have reacted so positively that 37,602+ have become subscribers.

Your articles ask for donations to your organization--that is not permissible in this event.

- e. In your email of March 3, you wrote as follows, which I specifically brought to your attention and you ratified by implication:

Feel free to promote your organization if that's appropriate, and even to solicit donations to it, if you desire.

Given the uniformly negative comments from other presenters about your proposed talk I feel I have no choice but to disengage.

- f. On March 26, you sent to 31 people, including the presenters, an email under the subject line “I would like your opinion n [sic] this document from Dr. Cordero within the next couple of days”
- g. The text of your email was simply a link: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf.
- h. The file reached through that link contains most of my Symposium articles, including the slides that I prepared for my presentation.
- i. Only four people responded to your request for an opinion, all on March 26. Had they read my articles, they would have cited to at least one of them, never mind the heading or paragraph that they were commenting on, or quote me!, as lawyers, judges, and professional do when commenting on somebody’s statements. Also on March 26, this is all you wrote:

third reply all negative so far
and they DID read everything

- j. Thereby you vouched emphatically for their having read “everything”. The only way you could be in a position to ascertain independently that they had read “everything” was if you too had read “everything” and found that their comments were consistent with your reading of “everything”.
- k. Since they emailed their opinion to all the 31 people from whom you had requested one, it is easy to verify that the following is their opinion:

Calling the judges “criminals” or other such dispersions [read ‘aspersions’] will not get us the audience we want.

Calling out any individual gives the “system” an excuse not to investigate. This is a “whack a mole” approach.

These systems – the various probate, guardianship and equity courts, are failing because of the lack of training, supervision and accountability of EVERYONE who is participating in these proceedings, and most especially the judicial officers.

I know that to the victims, and I am a victim too, it feels like you have been struck by a well-orchestrated criminal element. It feels that way.

But, the simple truth is that these proceedings are being undermined by incompetence and the failure to even know, much less abide by, THE RULE OF LAW.

The Los Angeles Times just ran an article about the #FreeBritney movement. They got it exactly right. **Lisa MacCarley**, <lisamaccarley@gmail.com>

I think it's way to [sic] long and I agree with Lisa. Shouldn't call judges criminals. Point out that the system has a lot of loop holes and flawed causing a lot of mismanagement, no transparency or accountability. **Luanne Fleming**, <faceusradio@hotmail.com>

I think we are most effective when our words are succinct and measured - neither overkill nor understatement. We can show passion without derogation. **Beverly Newman**, helpelders@hotmail.com

I am not for name calling or derogatory speech.

I think like in any system there are flaws.

The recent movie, "I care a lot" is a fiction that plays right into fears of many and the belief that a person has been victimized.

I see many a case were there are predators that take advantage of elderly people and the "System" rescues them.

The system has been decimated by budget cuts and the lack of manpower to investigate abuses.

Yes, I operate within the system, and sometimes I don't agree with the outcomes, but many times I do.

All extremes are bad. **Luis Barreto** <lbarreto@miamiprobate.com>

L. In what conceivable way does their opinion address the substance of my articles, never mind impugn it? Instead, they beg the question:

What name did Dr. Cordero call whom;
and where did he call it?

- m. You all may know that the original of that question was asked from 1973 on by Sen. Howard Baker, co-chairman of the Senate Watergate Committee. It had a devastating "negative impact", leading to the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974; and to the imprisonment of all his aides. This is described by *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein in their bestseller *All the President's Men* and the homonymous blockbuster movie.
- n. Given that you and the three responders allegedly read my file, it should be very easy for you to answer the above question.

- o. Not even you, Dr. Sugar, were able to answer that question, for neither you nor they had read my Symposium articles. Instead, Ms. MacCarley wrote her ‘opinion’ and the other people only read it, took it for accurate, and gave their opinion on it. A classic example of *pyramid commenting!*
- p. On what substantive basis in their ‘opinions’ did you, Dr. Sugar, rely to contradict your email of March 10, where you highlighted precisely the “[wide variety of viewpoints and perspectives \[of\] the impressive array of speakers who will be discussing the guardianship crisis](#)” at the Symposium.
- q. The 31 people to whom they emailed their opinion need not wait for their answer to my question, which the opinion-givers are unlikely ever to send, for that would require them to actually read what I wrote.
- r. All of them and the Symposium itself will benefit from performing a balancing test between:

the substantive content
of the opinion-givers’ “[uniformly negative responses](#)”

and

my presentation [slides\(OL3:1273\)](#);
my file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; and

the articles that I have researched, written, and emailed

to advertise the Symposium repeatedly to the circa 20,000 email addresses in my emailing list.

- 14. Since I began emailing articles that I had written, they have had a substantially positive impact on readers, attracting many of them to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> and causing 37,548+ to become subscribers.
- 15. My presentation [slides\(OL3:1273\)](#), submitted before the deadline, and my articles are based on my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries. The study is the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣**

[I am therefore retracting my invitation for you to speak at this event and cancelling your appearance at my discretion. I wish you luck in your future endeavors.](#)

- 16. You, Dr. Sugar, have shown inconsistent and erratic behavior as well as lack of appreciation for the assistance that you have asked and received from me, and lack of proportionality. This is not how a person develops in others respect, trust, and loyalty so necessary to turn one symposium into a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.
- 17. I have tried to develop that movement, intended to benefit all the victims of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power. A widely advertised and broadly attended Symposium is an excellent means of doing that. For the sake of that movement, I earnestly wish that you and all the presenters reach the largest audience possible and your message from a “[“wide variety of viewpoints and perspectives”](#) resonates with people of many different walks of life.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
[Judicial Discipline Reform](#)

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Blank

Appendixes

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

The above article is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking in support of the cause of honest judiciaries that in fact administer Equal Justice Under Law. This cause is pursued through the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy of forming a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform. Its pursuit is conducted at:

Judicial Discipline Reform

It has produced a three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

It maintains a website at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Visit it to strengthen yourself by reading its articles because
KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

So have done so many webvisitors and they have reacted to its articles so positively that 37,410+ have become subscribers. To join them for free:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

Donate

to Judicial Discipline Reform

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Users [Add New](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#)

[Maybe later](#)

[Don't show again](#)

All (37,724) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (37,723)**

[Search Users](#)

Bulk actions Change role to...

37,724 items 1 of 1,887

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	3aplus63von	—	sam@3aplus63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	AaaPiopsy	—	enn.max.to.y@gmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	AaBNmvsUDXWuwO	—	kelflee@yahoo.com	Subscriber	0

April 15, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

Judicial Discipline Reform

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,723+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
2. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 - Cf. a. id. on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
 - b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
3. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
4. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
5. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
6. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

7. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
8. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
9. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
10. *>Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
11. *>OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
12. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
13. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
14. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
15. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
16. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
17. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
18. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
19. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
20. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
21. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
22. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
23. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
24. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
25. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
26. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
27. OL2:760; see OL2:608
28. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
29. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
30. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
31. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf

32. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
33. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
34. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
35. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
36. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
37. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
38. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
39. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
40. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
41. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
42. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
43. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
44. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
45. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
46. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
47. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
48. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
49. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
50. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
51. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
52. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
53. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
54. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
55. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
56. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
57. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf

58. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
59. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
60. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
61. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
62. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
63. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
64. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
65. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
66. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
67. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
68. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
69. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
70. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
71. OL2:1144; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
72. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
73. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
74. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
75. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
76. *>OL2:1; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
77. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
78. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
79. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
80. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
81. *>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
82. OL2:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
83. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
84. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
85. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf

86. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
87. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
88. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
89. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
90. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
91. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
92. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
93. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

94. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
95. statistical analysis for the public(† >OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
96. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
97. to receive 'justice services'(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
98. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
99. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68^{123a});
100. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
101. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
102. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
103. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
104. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
105. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:5

'MeToo! Abusers'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;

106. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
107. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
108. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
109. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);

- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
110. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
111. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of April 15, 2021, had 37,723 subscribers, into:
- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions(jur:130§5);
112. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:
- a. judges' abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
113. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference(jur:97§1; *>dcc:13§C) on judges' abuses held at a top university(OL2:452) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
114. the call of the constitutional convention(OL:136§3) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful quotations and external links

1. U.S. Supreme Court

115. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
116. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
117. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
118. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
119. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice

2. U.S. Code and rules (federal, as opposed to state, laws)

120. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
121. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
122. The Ethics in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
123. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
124. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
125. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
126. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
127. Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence, USC 28a; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
128. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#))
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
- b. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
129. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
130. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of those who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
131. Ethics in Government Act of 1978; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_Gov_14apr9.pdf

3. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal , as opposed to state, courts)

132. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

133. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
134. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
135. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
136. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
137. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
138. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
139. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
140. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
141. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>

4. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

142. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

5. State laws and statistics

143. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

6. Entities accrediting educational institutions (serving as portals to them)

144. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
145. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
146. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
147. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

7. Law book publishers

148. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
149. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
150. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdc Campaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_c

ontent=9030215

151. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

8. Other entities and people

152. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>

153. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

154. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”;
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

155. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>

156. Judges’ annual financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org;
<https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

,

Blank

Blank

Blank