MEMORANDUM

May 2, 2018

TO:

Transportation, Infrastructure, Energy and Environment Committee

FROM:

Josh Hamlin, Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT:

Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs - Transportation Services Improvement Fund - Use

of Fund

PURPOSE:

Worksession - Committee should make recommendations on Bill

Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs – Transportation Services Improvement Fund – Use of Fund, sponsored by Lead Sponsor Council President at the request of the County Executive, was introduced on April 3, 2018. A public hearing was held on April 24.

Bill 13-18 would expand the purpose and use of the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to allow its use "for transportation purposes in the County."

Background

In 2015, the Council enacted Bill 33-15 to impose a charge authorized by the State in its then-newly enacted law regulating "transportation network companies" (TNCs) – ride-hailing services such as Uber and Lyft. In addition to imposing the charge, Bill 33-15 created the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to receive and distribute the revenue generated by the charge. Money from the Fund is to be used to offset higher costs of operating accessible taxicabs in the County, and to provide incentives for improving or expanding transportation options for eligible senior citizens and persons of limited income. The Bill required the Executive to establish the procedure for making disbursements from the Fund by regulation.

The State law that authorized the charge imposed under Bill 33-15 was enacted by the Maryland General Assembly in its 2015 session to regulate TNCs statewide. In addition to its regulatory function, the law also authorizes a county or municipality that licensed or regulated taxicab services on or before January 1, 2015, including Montgomery County, to impose an assessment on TNC trips that originate within the county or municipality. "Assessment" means a charge imposed by a local jurisdiction on each transportation network service that includes a passenger trip. The assessment may be up to 25 cents per trip, other than in an exempt jurisdiction. The revenue generated from the assessments must be used for "transportation purposes."

The Transportation, Infrastructure, Energy, and Environment (T&E) Committee first considered such an assessment in its discussion of Bill 54-14, which would have regulated TNCs at the County level. The T&E Committee considered the problem that TNCs generally do not provide accessible transportation and generally do not participate in programs such as Call-n-Ride, a County subsidized taxi service program for low-income seniors (65 and older) and low-income persons with disabilities (aged 18-64). In view of the ascendancy of ride-hailing services as transportation providers, and in order to improve accessible transportation, the T&E Committee discussed the possibility of imposing a surcharge on TNCs and a creating a fund used to incentivize accessible taxicab service. When the General Assembly took up the matter of TNC regulation, Councilmember Berliner advocated on behalf of the authorization of the local charge that was ultimately included in the State law.

Under current law, the Fund must be used: (1) to offset the higher operational costs of accessible taxicab services for owners and operators; or (2) to provide incentives for improving or expanding transportation options for eligible senior citizens or persons of limited income. Bill 13-18 would remove the existing requirements in the law that limit the purposes and uses of the Fund to offsetting additional costs associated with providing accessible transportation and providing incentives to improve or expand. transportation options for eligible senior citizens and persons of limited income. It would instead allow use of the Fund for any transportation purpose in the County.

The Fund's revenues and expenditures since its inception, as well as its fund balance, are as follows:

|--|

Taxi Network transportation fee (FY16)	\$263,731.63
Taxi Network transportation fee (FY17)	\$1,295,383.18
Taxi Network transportation fee (FY18 YTD)	\$1,340,979.61
Total Revenue	\$2,900,094.42

Expenditures

Taxi network transportation fee FY18 spent:	\$35,882.80
Taxi network transportation fee FY18 encumbered:	\$25,670.00
Total FY18 YTD spent/encumbered:	\$61,552.80

Fund Balance \$2,838,541.62

Additionally, the Executive's recommended FY19 operating budget reflects an additional \$1.3 million in anticipated non-restricted revenue attributable to the Fund in FY19.

On April 23, the Executive requested that the Bill be withdrawn from consideration, apparently based on the view that the amendments to existing law proposed in the Bill were not necessary to use the Fund as proposed in his recommended FY19 Operating Budget.

Public Hearing

There were four speakers, all opposed to the Bill, at the public hearing on the Bill held on April 24. Isabelle Schoenfeld, Chair of the Commission on Aging noted that Bill 33-15, imposing the fee and creating the Fund, was enacted in response to concerns raised by the Commission on People with Disabilities and the Commission on Aging about the impact of TNCs on the availability of accessible transportation. She added that the use of the Fund presents an ethical and moral issue, and urged against use of the Fund "for other purposes than increasing the mobility of the intended beneficiaries." Finally, she encouraged the County to improve the regulations governing disbursements from the Fund to better accomplish the Fund's purpose (see ©10-11). Seth Morgan, Chair of the Commission on People with Disabilities, expressed the Commission's strong opposition to the Bill, saying it "undermines the purpose and intent of the Transportation Services Improvement Fund." He indicated that the availability of accessible taxicab service has worsened since the creation of the fund, and concluded that "[c]hanging the purpose of the Fund in the face of worsening statistics is not reasonable; changing the Fund to make disbursement to promote accessible taxi availability is" (see ©12).

Commission on Aging past-Chair Elaine Binder also spoke in opposition to the Bill, saying that expanding the permissible uses of the Fund as proposed would allow funds to be spread across existing programs that do not benefit the populations that are the intended beneficiaries. She recommended the requirement of a multi-agency effort to develop creative options for use of the Fund to expand and enhance all transportation options for the Fund's intended beneficiaries (see ©13-14). Charles Kauffman opposed the Bill, saying that expanding the permissible uses of the Fund would frustrate the implementation of the existing law's purposes, and instead recommended a study to assure "rapid, long-term and effective and continuous implementation" to serve the law's intended beneficiaries (see ©15-16).

Issues for Committee Discussion

1. Should the Fund be used as recommended in the Executive's FY19 Recommended Operating Budget?

Since the imposition of the fee began about two years ago, the Transportation Services Improvement Fund has grown to about \$2.9 million, of which only about \$61,600 has been spent or encumbered for taxicab improvements for senior and disabled customers. The Executive has assumed that the balance of these funds, as well as the roughly \$1.3 million in TNC user fees projected to be collected in FY19, will be used to support his recommended Mass Transit Fund budget. The \$4.14 million total would be used to support the Call 'n' Ride, Seniors Ride Free, and Connect-a-Ride programs, freeing up an equivalent amount for uses elsewhere in the budget. (See pages 4-5 from Glenn Orlin's packet for April 25 T&E Committee worksession on the FY19 Operating Budget: Mass Transit Fund at ©17-18).

The total FY19 recommended budget for transportation subsidies for senior citizens and persons with disabilities is as follows:

Total subsidy	\$4,701,403
Connect-a-Ride	\$ 153,860
Total subsidy cost	\$1,226,597
Metrobus reimbursement	<u>\$ 292,611</u>
Foregone Ride On revenue	\$ 933,986
Seniors Ride Free	
Net County Cost	\$3,320,946
Coupon book revenue	<u>\$ 240,000</u>
State Contribution	\$ 387,911
Total Cost	\$3,948,857
Call 'n' Ride	

C 11 1 1 D 1 1

For the FY19 Budget, the Council needs to decide whether to approve the use of money from the Fund as recommended by the Executive. If the Council does not approve this use, it will need to make cuts or increase tax rates accordingly.

Council Staff Recommendation: If money from the Fund is used as proposed in the Executive's recommended FY19 Operating Budget, Council Staff recommends including the following language in the Budget Resolution:

Notwithstanding the provisions of §53-801, for FY19 only, the Council appropriates [\$4.14 million or whatever the exact amount appropriated] from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to fund programs associated with transportation of persons with disabilities, senior citizens, and persons of limited income through the Mass Transit Fund.

2. Should the existing purpose and restrictions on the use of the Fund be retained?

Current law provides that the Fund must be used: (1) to offset the higher operational costs of accessible taxicab services for owners and operators; or (2) to provide incentives for improving or expanding transportation options for eligible senior citizens or persons of limited income. The Bill would remove these restrictions and would instead allow use of the Fund for any transportation purpose. Beyond the fact that most of the money in the Fund has not been spent, there has not been any justification offered for broadening the permissible uses of the Fund. From the public hearing testimony, is appears that transportation problems facing the Fund's intended beneficiaries have not been solved. Also, given that the Executive has requested withdrawal of the Bill from consideration, it seems that no one is advocating for the change contained in the introduced Bill.

Council Staff recommendation: Retain the existing purpose and restrictions on the use of the Fund.

3. Should the purpose and restriction on use of the Fund be clarified?

As described above, the existing law restricts use of the Fund to offsetting higher costs of operating accessible taxicabs or providing incentives to improve or expand transportation options for eligible senior citizens or persons of limited income. The Executive's request to withdraw the Bill was apparently based on the idea that it is not necessary to amend the law to use money from the Fund as recommended in the Executive's recommended FY19 budget. On April 25, Councilmember Berliner requested that the County Attorney provide "the basis for the determination that the Fund may be used for general mass transit purposes . . ." (see ©19). As this packet is going to print, neither Council staff nor Councilmember Berliner have received the requested information.

In the absence of guidance from the County Attorney, Council staff could recommend language to apply a "belt and suspenders" approach to the restrictions on the use of the Fund. This recommendation would be to add specific language requiring that Fund revenues "must be used to supplement, and must not supplant, Fiscal Year 2019 expenditures from the Mass Transit Fund appropriated in the annual operating budget resolution" and must be used for the specific purposes of the Fund.

However, to avoid the possibility of any further debate with the Executive branch on permissible uses of the Fund, staff recommends scheduling this Bill for another T&E Committee worksession. This worksession could be scheduled to take place after approval of the budget, and presumably after receipt of the County Attorney's response, and would allow the Committee to recommend amendments that would finally resolve any dispute.

4. Should the law be amended to increase use of the Fund for its intended purposes?

All speakers at the public hearing, in addition to opposing the Bill, recommended changes, either to the law or to the implementing regulations, to better use the revenues collected and deposited in the Fund. The fact that a mere \$61,600 has been spent, out of \$2.9 million in the Fund, is compelling evidence that there is room for substantial improvement. Council staff believes that some refinements to the law could greatly improve the delivery of benefits to persons with disabilities, senior citizens, and persons of limited income.

If the Committee wishes to pursue such changes, it should decide how prescriptive it wants these new provisions to be. It would be fairly straightforward to require that the Executive, by regulation, must create a program or programs to disburse money from the Fund to improve transportation options for persons with disabilities, eligible senior citizens, and persons of limited income. However, if the Committee wishes to provide more guidance in the establishment of these programs, staff recommends further discussion with stakeholders to consider different ideas on how best to achieve the Fund's objective of providing better transportation for these often-underserved populations.

Council staff recommendation: If the FY19 Budget issue (issue 1) is resolved, defer Committee action on the Bill until after final action on the Budget to allow additional time to address issues 3 and 4, above.

This packet contains:	Circle#
Expedited Bill 13-18	1
Legislative Request Report	4
County Executive Memorandum	5
Fiscal and Economic Impact statement	6
Executive Memorandum requesting withdrawal	9
Public Hearing testimony	
Isabelle Schoenfeld	10
Seth Morgan	12
Elaine Binder	13
Charles Kauffman	15
T&E Packet - FY 19 Operating Budget: Mass Transit Fund	17
Councilmember Berliner Memorandum to County Attorney	19

F:\LAW\BILLS\1813 Transportation Services Improvement Fund\T&E Memo.Docx

Expedited Bill No13-18
Concerning: Taxicabs - Transportation
Services Improvement Fund - Use of
Fund
Revised: <u>03/26/2018</u> Draft No. <u>1</u>
Introduced: April 3, 2018
Expires: October 3, 2019
Enacted:
Executive:
Effective:
Sunset Date: None
Ch. Laws of Mont. Co.

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Council President at the Request of the County Executive

AN EXPEDITED ACT to:

- (1) provide for disbursements from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund for any transportation purpose; and
- (2) generally amend the law governing the licensing and regulation of taxicabs.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 53, Taxicabs Section 53-801

Boldface

Underlining

Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets]

Pleading or defined term.

Added to existing law by original bill.

Deleted from existing law by original bill.

<u>Double underlining</u>

Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec. 1	1.	Secti	ection 53-801 is amended as follows:	
2	53-801. Tra	ınspor	tation	Services Improvement Fund.	
3	(a)	Defin	iitions.	In this section:	
4		(1)	Fund	means the Transportation Services Improvement Fund	
5			estab	lished in this Section.	
6		(2)	Trans	sportation Network Services means "Transportation Network	
7			Servi	ces" as defined in § 10-101 of the Public Utilities Article of	
8			the M	Iaryland Code.	
9	(b)	Funa	l establ	ished.	
10		(1)	There	e is a Transportation Services Improvement Fund [created to	
11			impro	ove the delivery of:	
12			(A)	accessible transportation services in the County;	
13			(B)	transportation for eligible senior citizens; and	
14			(C)	transportation for persons of limited income] to be used for	
15				transportation purposes in the County.	
16		(2)	The I	Fund consists of:	
17			(A)	all revenue from the surcharge imposed on transportation	
18				network services under this Section;	
19			(B)	all funds appropriated to it by the County Council; and	
20			(C)	all funds received by the Fund from any other public or	
21				private entity.	
22	(c)	Per-r	ride su	rcharge. There is a \$0.25 surcharge on Transportation	
23		Netw	ork Se	rvices for each trip originating in the County. The surcharge	
24		must	be coll	ected as provided in §10-406 of the Public Utilities Article of	
25		the M	1 arylan	d Code.	
26	(d)	[Uses	s] <u>Use</u> (of the Fund. Disbursements from the Fund must only be used	
27		[to] f	or trans	sportation purposes in the County. [:	

28		(1)	offset	the higher operational costs of accessible taxicab services
29		f	or ov	vners and operators including, but not limited to:
30		((A)	vehicle costs associated with purchasing and retrofitting an
31				accessible vehicle;
32	•	(B)	costs associated with receiving training in providing
33				accessible transportation services; and
34		(C)	additional time involved in providing accessible taxicab
35				services; or
36		(2) p	rovio	de incentives for improving or expanding transportation
37		. C	ption	ns for:
38		(A)	eligible senior citizens; or
39		(B)	persons of limited income.
40	(e)	Disburs	emer	nts from the Fund. The Executive must by regulation
41		establis	h the	e procedure for determining when and how to make
42		distribu	tions	from the Fund to taxicab owners and operators, including
43		setting	eligi	bility standards, imposing conditions of reimbursement,
14		imposin	ıg a n	naximum amount of reimbursement, and considering timely
45		distribu	tion c	of reimbursement to taxicab owners and operators.]
46	Sec. 2	2. Exped	lited	Effective Date.
47	The	Council	decla	ares that this legislation is necessary for the immediate
48	protection o	f the pub	lic int	terest. This Act takes effect on the date on which it becomes
19	law.			
50	Approved:			
51				
	Hans D. Riem	er, Preside	nt, Co	unty Council Date

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Expedited Bill 13-18

Taxicabs - Transportation Services Improvement Fund - Use of Fund

DESCRIPTION:

Expedited Bill 13-18 would amend Section 53-801 of the County Code regarding the purpose, use and disbursements from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to expand allowable use of the Fund to any

transportation purpose in the County.

PROBLEM:

Money from the Fund must be used to offset higher costs of operating accessible taxicabs in the County, and to provide incentives for improving or expanding transportation options for eligible senior citizens and persons of limited income. The current law is too restrictive in how funds may be used.

GOALS AND: OBJECTIVES Provide flexibility to use funds for any transportation purpose in the County.

COORDINATION: Office of the County Executive Office of Management and Budget

Department of Transportation

FISCAL IMPACT: To be requested.

ECONOMIC:

To be requested.

IMPACT

EVALUATION:

To be requested.

EXPERIENCE:

To be researched.

ELSEWHERE

SOURCE OF:

Josh Hamlin, Legislative Attorney

INFORMATION

APPLICATION:

To be researched.

WITHIN

MUNICIPALITIES

PENALTIES:

Not applicable.

F:\LAW\BILLS\1813 Transportation Services Improvement Fund\LRR.Doc

Bil



BD CC ME GO AND JH

Isiah Leggett County Executive

MEMORANDUM

March 15, 2018

Sail Typett

TO:

Hans Riemer, President, County Council

FROM:

Isiah Leggett, County Executive

SUBJECT:

Transportation Services Improvement Fund

The purpose of this memorandum is to transmit the proposed expedited bill amending Section 53-801 of the County Code regarding disbursements from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to expand use to transportation purposes in the County. The existing regulation (ER 1-17) will continue to govern when making disbursements for accessible transportation services, transportation for eligible senior citizens, and transportation for persons of limited income.

Thank you for your expedited consideration.

IL:brg

Attachment:

c: Timothy L. Firestine, Chief Administrative Officer
Jennifer A. Hughes, Director, Office of Management and Budget
Alexandre A. Espinosa, Director, Department of Finance
Al Roshdieh, Director, Department of Transportation
Bonnie Kirkland, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer

MECEIVED HANDSTROM

Fiscal Impact Statement Bill 13-18 Taxicabs - Transportation Services Improvement Fund - Use of Fund

1. Legislative Summary

The bill amends Section 53-801 of the County Code regarding disbursements from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to expand use to transportation purposes in the County.

2. An estimate of changes in County revenues and expenditures regardless of whether the revenues or expenditures are assumed in the recommended or approved budget. Includes source of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

There are no changes to revenues and expenditures.

3. Revenue and expenditure estimates covering at least the next 6 fiscal years.

There are no changes in future revenue and expenditures estimates.

4. An actuarial analysis through the entire amortization period for each bill that would affect retiree pension or group insurance costs.

Not applicable.

5. An estimate of expenditures related to County's information technology (IT) systems, including Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems.

Not applicable.

6. Later actions that may affect future revenue and expenditures if the bill authorizes future spending.

Not applicable.

7. An estimate of the staff time needed to implement the bill.

No additional staff time is needed

Not applicable.
9. An estimate of costs when an additional appropriation is needed.
Not applicable.
10. A description of any variable that could affect revenue and cost estimates.
Not applicable.
11. Ranges of revenue or expenditures that arc uncertain or difficult to project.
Not applicable.
12. If a bill is likely to have no fiscal impact, why that is the case.
The bill simply provides additional flexibility for the use of funds collected.
13. Other fiscal impacts or comments.
No fiscal impacts.
14. The following contributed to and concurred with this analysis:
Brady Goldsmith, Office of Management and Budget
Fred Lees, Department of Transportation
Jennifer A. Hughes, Director $\frac{4}{Date}$
Office of Management and Budget

8. An explanation of how the addition of new staff responsibilities would affect other duties.

Economic Impact Statement Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs – Transportation Services Improvement Fund – Use of Fund

Background: The proposed legislation would amend Section 53-801 of the County Code as follows:

- Provide for disbursements from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund (TSIF) for *any* transportation purpose.
- 1. The sources of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

There are no sources of information, assumptions, and methodologies used by the Department of Finance in the preparation of the economic impact statement.

2. A description of any variable that could affect the economic impact estimates.

There are no variables that could affect the economic impact estimates.

3. The Bill's positive or negative effect, if any on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes, and property values in the County.

Because Expedited Bill 13-18 allows for the use of the TSIF to support *any* transportation purpose, it would have no positive or negative effect on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes, and property values in the County.

4. If a Bill is likely to have no economic impact, why is that the case?

Expedited Bill 13-18 would have no economic impact as noted in paragraph 3.

5. The following contributed to or concurred with this analysis: David Platt and Rob Hagedoorn, Finance; and Brady Goldsmith, OMB.

Alexandre Espinosa, Director

Department of Finance

7/12/2018 Date



Isiah Leggett County Executive

MEMORANDUM

April 23, 2018

Pail Light

TO:

Hans Riemer, President

County Council

FROM:

Isiah Leggett

County Executive

SUBJECT:

Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs - Transportation Services Improvement Fund -

Use of Fund

On April 3, 2018, Council introduced at my request Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs – Transportation Services Improvement Fund – Use of Fund. After further review, I request that Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs – Transportation Services Improvement Fund – Use of Fund be withdrawn from consideration.



COMMISSION ON AGING

April 24, 2018

MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING April 24, 2018 Testimony – Montgomery County Council Bill 13-18 Taxicabs-Transportation Services Improvement Fund (TSIF)-Use of Fund

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Bill 13-18, although it has been withdrawn. The Commission on Aging was disturbed to learn that the County Executive submitted Expedited Bill 13-18 because it would expand the purpose and use of the Transportation Services Improvement Fund for "any transportation purpose in the County." The bill placed no limits on the type of transportation purposes and did not define what the phrase "transportation purposes" means.

Although, the Commission on Aging was pleased to learn that Bill 13-18 has been withdrawn, the Commission is concerned that the use of the Funds may still be diverted to purposes other than what Bill 33-15 intended which was to increase the availability of accessible taxis for people with disabilities, older adults and people with limited income. This was especially important in that Transportation Network Companies such as Uber and Lyft, do not reliably provide accessible vehicles. The law establishing this Fund was passed in response to concerns raised by the Commission on People with Disabilities and the Commission on Aging about the entry into Montgomery County of Transportation Network Companies.

However, following the passage of Bill 33-15, before regulations were put in place, the Commission on Aging believed that the regulations that were being proposed were unlikely to adequately support the intended purpose of providing more accessible taxis on the road for two reasons (1) the subsidy for having accessible taxis was not sufficient to cover the cost, and (2) the subsidy for drivers to rent accessible vehicles was not a sufficient incentive to cover their costs. Both Commissions wrote letters in March and June 2017 on Executive Order No. 1-17, raising these issues and suggesting higher subsidies. Despite our stated concerns, the regulations went into effect.

Today, there are only 60 available accessible taxis (out of approximately 800 taxis) and only 20 are on the road at any time. Only \$65,000.00 (out of \$2.9 million in the Fund currently) has been disbursed at this time. Hence, the regulations did not provide enough dollars for subsidies to make a meaningful difference in the number of available accessible taxis.

Although the use of the Transportation Services Improvement Fund appears to be a solely budgetary issue, it also presents an ethical and moral issue. Current or future consideration of using these funds for other purposes than increasing the mobility of the intended beneficiaries, the County would be worsening their social isolation, health, and safety, thereby, negatively impacting their quality of life.

Now is an opportunity to improve the regulations to ensure that the funds accomplish what the Council wanted...greater availability of accessible vehicles for the intended users: people with disabilities, older adults and people with limited income.

The Commission on Aging would be happy to participate with other stakeholders in the modification of the regulations with the goal of making the use of the Fund more effective in meeting the intended purpose.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns.

Isabelle Schoenfeld Chair, Commission on Aging



W

Testimony Montgomery County Council November 28, 2017 Bill 13-18

Taxicabs-Transportation Services Improvement Fund- Use of Fund

My name is Seth Morgan and I am Chairman of the Commission on People with Disabilities. Bill 13-18 undermines the purpose and intent of the Transportation Services Improvement Fund and the Commission is in strong opposition to Bill 13-18.

The Commission has monitored the implementation of the Fund and, as we expressed in our prior communications, was concerned that the disbursement plan developed by DOT, though well intended, was inadequate and destined to fail.

The goal of the Fund has been to improve the delivery of transportation services to those in our community with disabilities as well as eligible seniors and persons of limited income. The genesis of the Fund came at the request of the Commission in partnership with the Commission on Aging and was a direct response to the entry of Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) such as Uber and Lyft (which do not reliably provide accessible car service to those in need of such services) into our County. The intent of the Fund was to provide financial incentives to promotes accessible taxi service for residents of the County.

In communications from DOT, it has been claimed that Bill 13-18 is in "no way intended to reduce the monies available to subsidize owners and drivers of accessible taxis". If true, why is the proposed purpose of the Fund being changed to allow for use of the Fund for any transportation needs?

If there is a surplus of funds then the guidelines for promoting distribution of funds to improve accessible taxi service are not working and need to be changed; it is not a reason to change the entire purpose of the Fund. We ask that financial incentives such as using the fund to decrease the daily rental of accessible taxis to perhaps half of what they pay now might be a financial incentive for drivers to rent them.

The availability of accessible taxi service has, in fact, worsening since the Fund has been established. The availability of accessible taxi service in our County is down to an all-time low of 60 accessible taxis of the total of 797 licensed taxis in Montgomery County. This means that at any given time, only approximately 20 accessible taxis are in service in the entire County. Changing the purpose of the Fund in the face of worsening statistics is not reasonable; changing the Fund to make disbursement to promote accessible taxi availability is.

Testimony TSIF

My name is Elaine Binder. As a past Chair of the Commission on Aging and the person who in 2013 proposed the creation of the Transportation and Mobility Management Program that was supported and funded by the County Council and the County Executive, I speak in opposition to Expedited Bill 13-18. Broadening the use of the Transportation Services Improvement Fund (TSIF) to be used for any transportation purpose in the County removes the focus on accessibility for older adults, people with disabilities and low-income County residents, which was the intent of the original Bill 33-15. It will be too easy to spread the funds across existing programs that do not benefit these populations.

Despite the growth of the Fund to nearly \$3 million since 2015, only \$65,000 has been disbursed for its intended purposes — "to offset the higher costs of operating accessible taxicabs **and** to provide incentives for improving or expanding transportation options for eligible senior citizens and persons of limited income."

Although I applaud recent verbal assurances to establish a process to ensure that the Fund is used for its original priority purpose of increasing accessible taxicabs, I am not comfortable relying on verbal assurances. A concerted effort needs to be made to add to the number of accessible taxis, and the appropriate group within the Department of Transportation should be required to make that a priority. I am concerned, however, about the length of time it will take to increase the number of accessible taxis. In the meantime, the Fund will continue to accumulate as will an increase in unmet transportation needs.

The County's older adult population is expected to grow from 120,000 in 2010 to 244,000 in 2040. They, as well as individuals with disabilities need a variety of transportation options. Disbursement of the funds to taxi companies or drivers is not the only way to expand services. Furthermore, nnot everyone needs completely accessible taxis. Consideration should be given instead to developing alternative approaches that will enable older adults and people with disabilities to get where they want to go when they want to go. Therefore, I recommend that the concept of providing incentives for improving or expanding transportation options of the Fund be broadened beyond accessible taxis.

I propose that the Expedited Bill 13-18 be rejected and that the Department of Transportation, the Transportation and Mobility Manager and the Department of Recreation collectively be charged with developing creative options about ways to use funds collected under Bill #33-15 to expand and enhance all transportation options for these populations. In addition, I propose that a portion of the available funds be given directly to older adults, the disabled and low-income county residents.

For example, the County currently funds the Jewish Council for the Aging to provide morning and afternoon bus service to senior centers — a program that has been reduced from 5 to 4 days a week. After a utilization study, it may be reasonable to use the Fund to take that program back to full operation. Another option could be using the Fund to provide vouchers to these riders for taxi service home so they will have flexibility in the hours they attend senior centers. The Fund could be used to expand the escorted transportation program that already exists. The Call-n-Ride program could be expanded. There could be a focus on training able older adults to utilize Ride-On and Metro buses.

By focusing on **all** possible solutions, the transportation experts in the County will be able to effectively and efficiently use the Transportation Services Improvement Fund in a manner that benefits the growing needs of the County's older adult and disabled residents.

Charles Kauffman Attorney at Law 5101 River Road Bethesda MD 20816 301 467 9336 Charleskauffman7@gmail.com



April 20th 2018

Hon. Hans Reimer, Chair Montgomery County Council 100 Maryland Avenue Rockville MD 20850

Re: Opposition to Proposed Bill 13-18

Members of the Council:

I speak for myself in opposing Bill 13-18 because I currently serve on an interested County Commission. I am not alone in opposing this bill. You have received letters in opposition to this proposal from the Commission on Aging, The COA Alumni Group, The Commission on People with Disabilities, The Vital Living Network among others and I add my voice to their testimony.

The Taxicab Services Improvement Fund ("TSIF") is a dedicated, specific-purpose trust fund with the express objective of providing adequate wheelchair accessible vehicles and taxicab service for seniors, people with disabilities and low income persons.

Proposed Bill 13-18 is an obvious attempt to divert funds from the Taxicab Services Improvement Fund ("TSIF") to enrich and replenish Department of Transportation coffers. A preemptive raid on this fund would frustrate all future implementation.

Proposed Bill 13-18 would subvert future proposals and divert the funds to " \underline{any} " other purpose.

The proposed legislation potentially would allow unrestricted, unpoliced and unenforceable invasion of the TSIF for <u>(any)</u> "transportation purposes in the County". The assurance of the current Director of Transportation on March 12th 2018 that the use of the funds will be" consistent" with the County's objective is neither binding, nor convincing, nor enforceable. It will be easy to tap the fund, defend tapping, and apologize after the fact <u>without recourse</u> or the ability of the Council to recover or replenish for any wrongful use.

TSIF collections have accumulated (\$2,900,000) over two years although implementation was authorized only a few months ago and little expenditure (\$65,000) have been made. The Taxicab Services Commission seems pre-occupied with other issues and DOT has devoted little effort to promote this program to potential users or to taxi industry owners and drivers. Robust implementation of the TSIF will provide additional transportation for the handicapped and others and will also add streams of income for Taxicab Owners and Drivers.

Charles Kauffman Attorney at Law 5101 River Road Bethesda MD 20816 301 467 9336 Charleskauffman7@gmail.com

No comprehensive study has yet been undertaken to explore commonalities, best practices and effective solutions...

I therefore respectfully recommend:

- 1. That this proposal be defeated; and
- 2. That a study should be undertaken to explore commonalities, best practices and effective solutions and make recommendations to the Council to assure rapid, long term and effective and continuous implementation of the TSIF. This study should be conducted by the County Council and include a taxicab driver, owner and citizen representative. I believe this study could be completed within 45 days. The goal is to find the best use of the TSIF to fulfill the Council's intent. The TSIF should be the catalyst for creating a first class "one-stop" taxi-like service, reduce current costs of the County, end wasteful duplication and fill the competitive loss by adding new streams of income to providers and drivers.

In summary, the Transportation Service Investment Fund is extremely valuable, has much potential and must be preserved.

I personally want to thank this Council for your support of senior issues over the past decade. I wish the departing members Godspeed and hope that future Councils will embellish your sterling record of caring and your vision of Montgomery County as an Age Friendly community for a lifetime. It has been a pleasure and honor working with you.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES KAUFFMAN

Charles Kauffman

that a standard combine is nearly 17' wide (©25), and the bridge would need to be wider to provide enough shy distance from the parapets. DOT has stated that the Significant Features will be maintained.

III. FY19 Operating Budget: Mass Transit Fund

- 1. Overview. The Executive's recommendations for the Mass Transit Fund are attached on ©26-33. The Executive recommends total expenditures of \$137,511,283 for the Mass Transit Fund, a \$218,687 (0.2%) increase from the FY18 approved budget. Operating Budget workyears would increase by 1.0 FTEs, to 875.37 FTEs, a 0.1% increase.
- 2. Ride On. Most of the changes to Ride On's budget are merely annualizations of the cost (or cost savings) from changes that were budgeted to occur during FY18. The table on ©34-36 displays—in descending order—the effectiveness of existing Ride On routes on weekdays, Saturdays and Sundays. Overall, the average is 18.9 riders/hour, down from 20.1 riders per hour last year, and 21.6 riders/hour two years ago. DOT anticipates that this metric will drop even further, to 18.7 riders/hour, in FY19. Most routes meet Ride On's minimum performance standards: 15 riders per platform hour for peakperiod-only routes and 10 riders per platform hour for all-day routes.

The only notable service change for FY19 is the initiation of a pilot bus service on Route 52 between Olney and Rockville, currently one of the worst performing routes in the system, carrying only 5.9 riders/hour. DOT explains that this new program would change Route 52 to allow for deviations to alternate pickup locations as needed. This new service will use shift from the 30'-long clean diesel buses to smaller microbuses, and it would broaden the service area within Olney's neighborhoods, including Olney Mill, Longwood and Brookeville. This new microbus service, Route 302, would operate every 10 minutes during the AM and PM peak periods on weekdays. Customers will have direct connections to Rockville and the Metrorail. With the start of the new microbus route, customers will be able to use a new app to flag or call the next available bus to deviate to alternate pickup locations. Route 302 would be initiated in January 2019. The only route performing worse than Route 52 on weekdays is Route 301 between Tobytown and Rockville, which carries only 3.8 riders/hour; if Route 302 proves to be successful, Route 301 should be the next route to which the concept is applied.

One of the routes discontinued last year was Route 94, a "Meet the MARC" service that ran between several points in Clarksburg and the Germantown MARC station. This service was important because current parking activity at the MARC station is well beyond capacity. Since its demise last fall, several residents in Clarksburg have been working with Councilmember Riemer's office and DOT to identify an alternative. A concept that has been developed would simply use Route 75, which runs between points in Clarksburg and the Germantown Transit Center, and extend it to the Germantown MARC station every 30 minutes during weekday peak periods (5:30-8:30am and 4:30-8:30pm) when commuter trains are stopping there. DOT estimates that the annual operating cost would be \$169,789, with an offsetting annual revenue of \$8,405.

To operate this route extension, Ride On will need to put one more bus in service. Until the FLASH service begins in 2020, DOT is initiating the interim US 29 BRT service¹ in mid-May, and it can do so by not retiring eight older Ride On buses in the fleet. Council staff believes that one more bus scheduled for replacement can be retained.

^{1 &}quot;FLASH Light", maybe?

Should this service be budgeted, it would start either this September (five-sixths of the annual cost and revenue in FY19), next January (one-half), or next May (one-sixth). In the past there has been State aid to cover part of the Route 94 costs, but when it was discontinued the Maryland Transit Administration reallocated the funds elsewhere.

Council staff recommends adding to the Reconciliation List \$28,298 (offset by revenue of \$1,401) for the extension of Route 75 to the Germantown MARC station during weekday peak periods, starting in May 2019.

- 3. Call-'n'-Ride. The Call-'n'-Ride Program provides subsidized taxi service for low-income seniors (age 65 or older) and low-income persons with disabilities (age 18 or older). The subsidy levels were adjusted four years ago. The subsidy levels for one-person households is as follows:
 - A person earning less than \$15,857 would pay \$5.25 for \$60 of rides (91.3% subsidy).
 - A person earning \$15,857-\$21,403 would pay \$10 for \$60 of rides (83.3% subsidy).
 - A person earning \$21,404-\$26,951 would pay \$20 for \$60 of rides (66.7% subsidy).
 - A person earning \$26,952-\$32,499 would pay \$30 for \$60 of rides (50.0% subsidy).

A table showing both the income ranges for households up to six persons is on ©37. A breakdown of participants by subsidy level is on ©38.

4. Transportation Services Improvement Fund. The Executive has proposed Expedited Bill 13-18 that would allow money from the Transportation Services Improvement Fund to be used for any transportation purpose. The money in this fund is collected from ride-hailing services Uber and Lyft; the current County law limits the use of the fund to offsetting additional costs associated with providing accessible transportation and providing incentives to improve or expand transportation options for eligible senior citizens and persons of limited income. Expedited Bill 13-18, as drafted, would instead allow use of the fund for any transportation purpose in the County.

Since the County's assessment has gone into effect about two years ago, the Transportation Services Improvement Fund has collected about \$2.9 million, of which only about \$61,600 has been spent or encumbered for taxi improvements for senior and disabled customers. The Executive has assumed that the balance of these funds—as well as the \$1.3 million in Uber and Lyft user fees projected to be collected in FY19—will be used to support his recommended Mass Transit Fund budget. All the \$4.14 million total would be used to pay for Call-'n'-Ride, freeing up an equivalent amount for uses elsewhere in the budget.

The public hearing on Expedited Bill 13-18 is scheduled for April 24. If the Council does not approve a bill that would allow the \$4.14 million to be used to supplant an equivalent amount of Mass Transit funding, then it will need to either raise the Mass Transit Tax or cut \$4.14 million in spending in the Mass Transit budget. The T&E Committee will need to address Expedited Bill 13-18 prior to its finalizing its recommendations on the FY19 Operating Budget.



ROGER BERLINER COUNCILMEMBER DISTRICT |

CHAIRMAN
TRANSPORTATION, INFRASTRUCTURE
ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

April 25, 2018

Marc Hansen, County Attorney Office of the County Attorney 101 Monroe Street, 3rd Floor Rockville, MD 20850

Mr. Hansen,

On April 23, 2018, County Executive Leggett requested that the County Council withdraw Expedited Bill 13-18, Taxicabs – Transportation Services Improvement Fund – Use of Fund from consideration. It is my understanding that the County Executive requested the withdrawal of this bill after a legal opinion was rendered that the Transportation Services Improvement Fund (the Fund) could be drawn upon without broadening the permissible uses of the fund in Section 53-801 of the County Code.

County Code Section 53-801(d), as enacted by Bill 33-15, Taxicabs – Transportation Services Improvement Fund, provides that disbursements from the Fund *must* be used to "offset the higher operational costs of accessible taxicab services for owners and operators" or to "provide incentives for *improving* or *expanding* transportation options for: (A) eligible senior citizens; or (B) persons of limited income."

None of the purposes of the statute were satisfied by the uses made of the Fund. Accordingly, please provide me with the basis for the determination that the Fund may be used for general mass transit purposes, as reflected in the Executive's recommended budget, without amending the law as Expedited Bill 13-18 was drafted to do.

Thank you for your assistance. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Roger Berliner

Councilmember, District 1

Chair, Transportation, Infrastructure, Energy &

Environment Committee