MEMORANDUM

September 7, 2018

TO:

County Council

FROM:

Jeffry L. Zyontz, Senior Legislative Analyst

SUBJECT:

Bill 26-18, Landlord-Tenant Relations - Accessory Apartment Licensing

PURPOSE:

Public Hearing – No Council votes required.

Bill 26-18, Landlord-Tenant Relations – Accessory Apartment Licensing, sponsored by Lead Sponsors Councilmembers Floreen, Leventhal and Council President Riemer, was introduced on July 17, 2018. A Planning, Housing and Economic Development Committee workesession is tentatively scheduled for September 24 at 9:30 a.m.

Bill 26-18 would amend the licensing procedures for an accessory apartment rental license and amend the process for appeals, objections and waivers. This Bill is associated with ZTA 18-07. ZTA 18-07, also introduced on July 17, 2018, would remove the requirement for conditional use approval for all accessory apartments and revise the limited use provisions for accessory apartments. Approval of this Bill in some form would be necessary to implement the core concepts in ZTA 18-07.

This packet contains:	<u>Circle #</u>
Bill 26-18	1
Legislative Request Report	6
Fiscal and Economic Impact statement	7

F:\LAW\BILLS\1826 Landlord-Tenant Relations-Accessory Apartments\PH Memo.Docx

Bill No.	26-18	
Concerning:	Landlord-Te	enant Relations –
	y Apartmen	
		Draft No3
Introduced: _		
Expires:	January '	17, 2020
Enacted:	-	
Executive:		
Effective:		
Sunset Date:	None	
Ch. La	aws of Mont	. Co.

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Councilmembers Floreen, Leventhal and Council President Riemer

AN ACT to:

- (1) amend the licensing procedures for an accessory apartment rental license;
- (2) amend the process for appeals, objections, and waivers, and
- (3) generally amend County law relating to accessory apartment licensing.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 2, Administration Section 2-140

Chapter 29, Landlord Tenant Relations Sections 29-19 and 29-26

Boldface Heading or defined term.

<u>Underlining</u>
[Single boldface brackets]
Added to existing law by original bill.
Deleted from existing law by original bill.

Double underlining Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec.	. Sections 2-140, 29-19, and 29-26 are amended as follows:
2	2-140. Pow	ers, duties and functions.
3		* * *
4	(c)	The Office may hear, and submit a written report and decision to the
5		specified officer or body on, any:
6		(1) [petition to the County Council to] <u>application to initiate</u> , modify
7		or revoke a special exception or conditional use, as provided in
8		Chapter 59;
9		(2) designation by the County Council of a geographic area as a
10		community redevelopment area;
11		(3) matter referred by the Board of Appeals under Section 2-112(b);
12		or
13		(4) <u>waiver or</u> objection to a finding made by the Director of the
14		Department of Housing and Community Affairs concerning an
15		application for an accessory apartment rental housing license
16		under Section 29-26.
17		* * *
18	29-19. Lice	sing procedures.
19		* * *
20	(b)	Accessory apartment rental license.
21		(1) An owner of a lot or parcel in a zone that permits accessory
22		apartments may obtain a license to operate an accessory apartment
23		if:
24		(A) the owner places a sign provided by the Director on the lot
25		of the proposed accessory apartment within 5 days after the
26		Director accepts an application license[, unless a sign is
27		required as part of an application for a special exception.

28				The s	sign must identify any requested waivers under Section
29				<u> 29-26</u>	6(b). The sign provided by the Director must remain in
30				place	on the lot for a period of time and in a location
31				deter	mined by the Director.
32					* * *
33			(C)	the D	Director finds that:
34				(i)	the accessory apartment satisfies the standards for an
35					accessory apartment in Section 59-3.3.3. and if
36					needed, a Hearing Examiner granted a waiver under
37					<u>Section</u> <u>29-26</u> ; or
38				(ii)	the accessory apartment was approved under Article
39					59-G as a special exception <u>under the Zoning</u>
40					Ordinance applicable before October 30, 2014.
41					* * *
42	29-26. App	eals, <u>V</u>	<u>Vaiver</u>	<u>s,</u> and	Objections.
43					* * *
44	(b)	Waiv	<u>ers</u> an	<u>d</u> [Ob	jections] objections concerning any new accessory
45		apart	ment li	cense.	
46		(1)	The a	applica	nt for a new license for an accessory apartment may
47			reque	<u>st a wa</u>	aiver of a standard to the extent allowed by Section 59.
48			3.3.3	or obje	ect to an adverse finding of fact by the Director by filing
19			<u>a</u> wai	ver or a	an objection and a request for a hearing with the Office
50			of Zo	ning a	nd Administrative Hearings.
51		(2)	Any	other a	ggrieved person may file an objection and request for
52			a hea	ring w	ith the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings
53			by:		
54			(A)	objec	ting to any finding of fact by the Director; or

or <u>an</u> and
and
f the
er or
aring
ction
l and
e the
s that
y the
ıg [is
) feet
mit a
on a
e the
osed
n on-

81	impose other conditions to assure adequate parking on granting the
82	waiver.
83	(8) The Hearing Examiner may waive the distance separation
84	standards between Accessory Apartments when the separation
85	does not result in an excessive concentration of similar uses,
86	including other conditional uses, in the general neighborhood of
87	the proposed Accessory Apartment.
88	(9) The Hearing Examiner may consolidate public hearings on any
89	requested waivers and any objections to the Director's findings
90	that involve the same license application.
91	[(8)](10) The Hearing Examiner must issue a final decision within 30
92	days after the close of the record of the adjudicatory hearing. If
93	both a waiver request and an objection relating to the same
94	accessory apartment license application are filed, the Hearing
95	Examiner must issue a final decision within 30 days after the close
96	of the record in both cases.
97	[(9)] (11) The Director must issue or deny the license based on the final
98	decision of the Hearing Examiner.
99	[(10)] (12) Any [aggrieved party who objected under subsection 29-
100	26(b)] party aggrieved by the Hearing Examiner's decision on an
101	objection or a waiver may request the Circuit Court to review the
102	Hearing Examiner's final decision under the Maryland Rules of
103	Procedure. An appeal to the Circuit Court does not automatically
104	stay the Director's authority to grant a license.

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Bill 26-18

Landlord-Tenant Relations - Accessory Apartment Licensing

DESCRIPTION:

Bill 26-18 would:

- amend the licensing procedures for an accessory apartment rental license;
- amend the process for appeals, objections, and waivers;
- generally amend County law relating to accessory apartment licensing.

PROBLEM:

ZTA 18-07 would remove the conditional use approval process for any accessory apartment. Current law of accessory apartment licensing would be inconsistent if ZTA 18-07 is approved.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:

The goal of Bill 26-18 is to be consistent with the approval process of accessory apartments required by Chapter 59.

COORDINATION:

Housing and Community Affairs

FISCAL IMPACT:

To be requested.

ECONOMIC IMPACT:

To be requested.

EVALUATION:

To be requested.

EXPERIENCE ELSEWHERE:

To be researched.

SOURCE OF INFORMATION:

Jeffry L. Zyontz, Senior Legislative Analyst

APPLICATION

WITHIN

MUNICIPALITIES:

To be researched.

PENALTIES:

N/A

F:\LAW\BILLS\1826 Landlord-Tenant Relations-Accessory Apartments\LRR.Docx



ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

MEMORANDUM

August 16, 2018

TO:

Hans Riemer, President, County Council

FROM:

Jennifer A. Hughes, Director, Office of Management and Budget

Alexandre A. Espinosa, Director, Department of Finance Just L. a.l.

SUBJECT:

FEIS for Bill 26-18, Landlord-Tenant Relations - Accessory Apartment Licensing

Please find attached the fiscal and economic impact statements for the above-referenced legislation.

JAH:df

c: Bonnie Kirkland, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer Lisa Austin, Office of the County Executive Joy Nurmi, Special Assistant to the County Executive Patrick Lacefield, Director, Public Information Office David Platt, Department of Finance Dennis Hetman, Department of Finance Pofen Salem, Office of Management and Budget Felicia Zhang, Office of Management and Budget

Fiscal Impact Statement Bill 26-18

Landlord-Tenant Relations - Accessory Apartment Licensing

1. Legislative Summary

Bill 26-18 would amend the procedures for accessory apartment rental licenses, including the process for appeals, objections and waivers, and generally amend County law relating to accessory apartment licensing.

Specifically, Bill 26-18, and the accompanying Zoning Text Amendment (ZTA)18-07, would eliminate the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearing's (OZAH) conditional use approval process for an accessory apartment license, replacing it with a waiver process. Current law for accessory apartment licensing would be inconsistent if ZTA 18-07 is approved.

Currently, applicants for an accessory apartment license that are rejected by the Department of Housing and Community Affairs (DHCA) may file an application to obtain a conditional use for an accessory apartment only in the following limited circumstances:

- To deviate from the requirements for on-site parking and/or for distance from other accessory apartments; or
- To permit a detached accessory apartment in the AR, R and RC zones.

According to OZAH, the conditional use review process takes four to five months to complete and requires a review by Montgomery County Planning Department (Montgomery Planning). Eliminating the conditional use review and implementing a new waiver process would streamline the process by removing Montgomery Planning's required review. Whereas the standard of review would not change under this Bill, the new process would reduce processing time by approximately 50 percent.

2. An estimate of changes in County revenues and expenditures regardless of whether the revenues or expenditures are assumed in the recommended or approved budget. Includes source of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

Bill 26-18 might have an impact on Montgomery Planning's workload due to removing its required review in the process, but information was not available from Montgomery Planning at this time. This Bill would slightly reduce revenue. Between FY14 and FY18, a total of 13 accessory apartment conditional use applications were filed. This represents an average of 2.6 applications per year. Each applicant must pay a \$300 conditional use application fee. There is no fee for the waiver process. Based on the assumption of three applications a year, it is anticipated that County revenues would be reduced by \$900 per year. Note that properties granted accessory apartment licenses could have a higher assessment than the ones without and this could have an impact on County property tax revenues. However, it is impossible to estimate revenue changes because no data is available on tax assessments for accessory apartments.

3. Revenue and expenditure estimates covering at least the next 6 fiscal years.

Based on the assumption provided in #2, estimated fee revenues for the next six years would be reduced by 5,400 (= 900×6). These may be offset by increased property tax revenues. There would be no changes in County expenditures.

4. An actuarial analysis through the entire amortization period for each bill that would affect retiree pension or group insurance costs.

Not Applicable.

5. An estimate of expenditures related to County's information technology (IT) systems, including Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems.

Not Applicable.

6. Later actions that may affect future revenue and expenditures if the bill authorizes future spending.

The bill does not authorize future spending.

7. An estimate of the staff time needed to implement the bill.

None. However, it should be noted that Bill 26-18 would eliminate the involvement of MCPD staff from the accessory apartment review process.

8. An explanation of how the addition of new staff responsibilities would affect other duties.

Not Applicable.

9. An estimate of costs when an additional appropriation is needed.

Not Applicable.

10. A description of any variable that could affect revenue and cost estimates.

The number of applications reviewed under a waiver process could affect revenue estimates. Given the number of conditional use applications filed in previous years, the impact is likely to be insignificant.

11. Ranges of revenue or expenditures that are uncertain or difficult to project.

Not Applicable.

12. If a bill is likely to have no fiscal impact, why that is the case.

Not Applicable.

13. Other fiscal impacts or comments.

None.

14. The following contributed to and concurred with this analysis: Clarence Snuggs, Department of Housing and Community Affairs Martin Grossman, Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings Tim Goetzinger, Department of Housing and Community Affairs Francene Hill, Department of Housing and Community Affairs Pofen Salem, Office of Management and Budget

Jennifer A. Hughes, Director

Office of Management and Budget

(q

Economic Impact Statement Bill 26-18, Landlord-Tenant Relations – Accessory Apartment Licensing

Background:

Bill 26-18 would:

- amend the licensing procedures for an accessory apartment rental license;
- amend the process for appeals, objections, and waivers; and
- amend County law relating to accessory apartment licensing.

The proposed legislation would conform to provisions of the Zoning Text Amendments (ZTA) No. 18-07 that amends sections of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 59 of the Montgomery County Code.

1. The sources of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

Sources of information used in the preparation of the economic impact statement include a report entitled "Stimulating Accessory Apartment Development: 2018", prepared by Jane Lyons, Department of Public Policy, University of Maryland, for the County Council; and information provided by County Council staff. The Department of Finance (Finance) did not make any assumptions or use any methodologies in the preparation of the economic impact statement.

According to the report prepared for the County Council, the economic benefits of accessory apartments include the creation of construction jobs, an increase in the property tax base, and an increase in the supply of affordable housing. The report also states that "accessory apartments help to diversify the housing stock in places where land is limited and create more affordable options for young professionals, non-nuclear households, multigenerational households, empty nesters, immigrants, and seniors."

The report also outlines the current accessory apartment approval process. Portions of that process include:

- The applicant obtains a Rental Housing License from DHCA Licensing and Registration;
- The applicant applies for a Class 3 Accessory Apartment license through DHCA Licensing;
- DHCA sends a written notification of the application acceptance or returns the application and all fees with a written explanation regarding missing documents;
- The Hearing Examiner receives a copy of the application with documents of acceptance of the application;
- The DHCA Director issues a report on the findings of the Licensing review and Housing Code inspection; and
- A license is either issued or denied 30 days after the issuance of the DHCA Director's report.

Economic Impact Statement Bill 26-18, Landlord-Tenant Relations – Accessory Apartment Licensing

If the application is rejected by DHCA, then the applicant or applicants may file an application to obtain a conditional use permit only under specified conditions. If the property does not meet the requirements, the applicant can object with the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings (OZAH) and request a hearing within 30 days of the DHCA's Director report.

As stated previously, Bill 26-18 and ZTA No. 18-07 remove the requirement for conditional use approval for all accessory apartments and revise the limited use provisions for attached and detached accessory apartments.

Based on data in the report of the 258 applications, 100 were not approved since 2013 and 22 of those 100 are pending. According to County Council staff, some of those applications awaiting approval would have the opportunity to seek a waiver under Bill 26-18. In addition to those awaiting approval, there could be additional applications because the conditional use approval would not be required under Bill 26-18 and ZTA No. 18-07. County Council staff estimates there could be an additional 20 accessory apartments per year at the high end of a range of uncertainty.

2. A description of any variable that could affect the economic impact estimates.

The variable that could affect the economic impact estimates is the number of additional accessory apartments per year. As stated in #1, the estimate is an additional 20 per year.

3. The Bill's positive or negative effect, if any on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes, and property values in the County.

Bill 26-18 could have a positive economic impact on construction employment, an increase in the County's real property tax base, and an increase in affordable housing.

4. If a Bill is likely to have no economic impact, why is that the case?

As stated in #3, Bill 26-18 could have a positive impact on the County's economy with the addition of accessory apartments and thereby an increase in construction employment, an increase in property values and property tax base, and an increase in the supply of affordable housing for County residents such as young professionals, immigrants, and multigenerational households.

5. The following contributed to or concurred with this analysis: David Platt and Rob Hagedoorn, Finance.

Alexandre Espinosa, Director

Department of Finance

8/2/18

Date